



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# Irreducibility of versal deformation rings in the $(p, p)$ -case for 2-dimensional representations

Gebhard Böckle<sup>\*</sup>, Ann-Kristin Juschka

University of Heidelberg, IWR, Im Neuenheimer Feld 368, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Received 15 April 2014

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Eva

Bayer-Fluckiger

*Keywords:*

Galois representations

Local fields

Deformation theory

Crystalline representations

## ABSTRACT

Let  $G_K$  be the absolute Galois group of a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a continuous residual representation for  $\mathbb{F}$  a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . We investigate whether the versal deformation space  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  is irreducible. For  $n = 2$  and  $p > 2$  we obtain a complete answer in the affirmative based on the results of [2,4]. As a consequence we deduce from recent results of Colmez, Kisin and Nakamura [10,22,28] that for  $n = 2$  and  $p > 2$  crystalline points are Zariski dense in the versal deformation space  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ .

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

### 1.1. Deformation rings of Galois representations

Let  $G_K$  be the absolute Galois group of a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and let  $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a continuous residual representation for  $\mathbb{F}$  a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $W(\mathbb{F})$  be the ring of Witt vectors of  $\mathbb{F}$ . We shall always write  $\mathcal{O}$  for the ring of integers of

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [gebhard.boeckle@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:gebhard.boeckle@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de) (G. Böckle), [ann-kristin.juschka@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de](mailto:ann-kristin.juschka@iwr.uni-heidelberg.de) (A.-K. Juschka).

a finite totally ramified extension of  $W(\mathbb{F})[1/p]$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$  its maximal ideal and by  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  a uniformizer. To simplify notation, we shall write  $\mathcal{O}_i$  for the quotient  $\mathcal{O}/\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i\mathcal{O}$  for any integer  $i \geq 1$ . Denote by  $\text{ad}$  the adjoint representation of  $\bar{\rho}$ , i.e., the representation on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$  induced from  $\bar{\rho}$  by conjugation and by  $\text{ad}^0$  the subrepresentation on trace zero matrices.

For  $\bar{\rho}$  as above, we consider the deformation functor from the category  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  of complete Noetherian local  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras  $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$  to the category of sets defined by

$$D_{\bar{\rho}}(R) := \{\rho: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R) \mid \rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}_R = \bar{\rho} \text{ and } \rho \text{ is a cont. repr.}\} / \sim$$

where  $\rho \sim \rho'$  if there exists  $A \in \ker(\text{GL}_n(R) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \mathfrak{m}_R} \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}))$  such that  $\rho' = A\rho A^{-1}$ . An equivalence class  $[\rho]$  of  $\rho$  under  $\sim$  is called a *deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$* . Since  $G_K$  satisfies the finiteness condition  $\Phi_p$  from [24, §1.1], by [24, Prop. 1] with a slight strengthening by [30] one deduces:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The functor  $D_{\bar{\rho}}$  always possesses a versal hull  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}})$  which is unique up to isomorphism. If in addition  $\text{End}_{G_K}(\bar{\rho}) = \mathbb{F}$ , then  $D_{\bar{\rho}}$  is representable and in particular  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}})$  is unique up to unique isomorphism.*

We denote by  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_{\bar{\rho}})$  a representative of the versal class.

For later use, we recall parts of the obstruction theory related to  $D_{\bar{\rho}}$ . Suppose we are given a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow R_1 \longrightarrow R_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the morphism  $R_1 \rightarrow R_0$  is in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}_1 \cdot J = 0$  for  $\mathfrak{m}_1$  the maximal ideal of  $R_1$ ; such a diagram is called a *small extension of  $R_0$* . Suppose further that we are given a deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $R_0$  represented by  $\rho_0: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_0)$ . Then Mazur defines a canonical obstruction class

$$\mathcal{O}(\rho_0) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}) \otimes J$$

that vanishes if and only if  $\rho_0$  can be lifted to a deformation  $\rho_1: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_1)$  of  $\bar{\rho}$ , see [24, p. 398]. By elementary linear algebra, the obstruction class  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)$  defines an obstruction homomorphism  $\text{obs}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(J, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad})$ , and conversely from the latter one can recover  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)$ .

The following result describes the  $\text{mod } \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$  tangent space of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  and a bound on the number of generators of an ideal in a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  by a power series ring over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** (See [24].)

(a) If  $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]$  denotes the ring of dual numbers of  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}} := \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}R_{\bar{\rho}}$ , then one has canonical isomorphisms between the two tangent spaces

$$t_{D_{\bar{\rho}}} := D_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]) \cong H^1(G_K, \text{ad}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}/\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^2, \mathbb{F}) =: t_{R_{\bar{\rho}}}.$$

(b) Let  $h_1 := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G_K, \text{ad})$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_{h_1}]]$  and let

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_{h_1}]] \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{\bar{\rho}} \longrightarrow 0$$

be a presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ . Then the obstruction homomorphism

$$\text{obs}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(I/\mathfrak{m}I, \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}), \quad f \longmapsto (1 \otimes f)(\mathcal{O}(\rho_{\bar{\rho}})),$$

is injective, and thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \text{ad})$  bounds the minimal number  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} I/\mathfrak{m}I$  of generators of  $I$ .

If in a presentation as in (b) the number of variables is minimal, i.e., if the mod  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$  tangent space of  $\mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_{h_1}]]$  is isomorphic to that of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ , then we call the presentation *minimal*. Now fix a character  $\psi: G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  which reduces to  $\det \bar{\rho}$  and denote by  $D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  the subfunctor of  $D_{\bar{\rho}}$  of deformations whose determinant is equal to  $\psi$  (under the canonical homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$ ).

**Proposition 1.3.** If  $p \nmid n$ , then the results of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 hold for  $D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  as well, if one replaces  $\text{ad}$  by the adjoint representation  $\text{ad}^0$  on trace zero matrices, the pair  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}})$  by the versal deformation ring  $(R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}, \mathfrak{m}_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi})$  and the ideal  $I$  by a relation ideal  $I^{\psi}$  in a minimal presentation

$$0 \longrightarrow I^{\psi} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]^1 \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0). \quad (1)$$

This article presents three results on the deformation rings  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  and  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  introduced above:

For  $n = 2$ , we improve the ring theoretic results from [2] by showing that the rings  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  are integral domains. On the technical side, we clarify that for this result and the main results in [2] the knowledge of a suitably defined (*refined*) *quadratic part*, see Definition 2.4, of the relation in a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  suffices.

Using the irreducibility of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$ , we deduce the Zariski density of crystalline points in  $\text{Spec } R_{\bar{\rho}}$  for  $n = 2$ ,  $p > 2$  and any  $p$ -adic local field  $K$ .

<sup>1</sup> To avoid notation such as  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}^{\psi}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}^{\psi}}$ , we use the simpler notation  $\mathcal{R}$  instead of  $\mathcal{R}^{\psi}$  for the frequently used ring  $\mathcal{R}$ .

For many  $n$  and  $K$  we give a cohomological description of the quadratic parts of the relations in a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  via a cup product and a Bockstein formalism in the context of Galois cohomology of  $p$ -adic fields.

We now explain these results in greater detail. From now on we assume that  $p > 2$ .

### 1.2. Ring-theoretic results on local versal deformation rings

To describe some auxiliary ring-theoretic results and some ring-theoretic properties of the versal deformation ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  for a fixed 2-dimensional residual representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ , we fix some further notation.

For a ring  $R$  in  $\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  and a proper ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $R$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$  the associated graded ring  $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(R)$  with  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}^i(R) = \mathfrak{n}^i / \mathfrak{n}^{i+1}$ . By  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}: R \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ , we denote the map that sends  $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$  to its initial term in  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$ , i.e., if  $i_r$  is the largest integer  $i \geq 0$  such that  $r \in \mathfrak{n}^i$ , then  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(r)$  is the image of  $r$  in  $\mathfrak{n}^{i_r} / \mathfrak{n}^{i_r+1}$ . Further, we set  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(0) = 0$  and note that  $\bigcap_i \mathfrak{n}^i = \{0\}$  for  $R$  in  $\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . If we wish to indicate  $i_r$  in the notation, we write  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}^{i_r}(r)$ . For an ideal  $I \subset R$  one denotes by  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(I)$  the ideal of  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}}(R)$  generated by  $\{\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(r) \mid r \in I\}$ . To describe the mod  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$  reduction of pairs  $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$  in  $\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we define  $\bar{R} := R / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} R$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_R := \mathfrak{m}_R / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} R$ . Similarly, we write  $\bar{r}$  for the image of  $r \in R$  in  $\bar{R}$ .

The following is the key technical result to deduce ring theoretic properties of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$ :

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $\bar{\rho}$  is of degree 2 and  $p > 2$ . Fix a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  as in Proposition 1.3. Then there exist an  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -primary ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_s$  of  $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$  of the form  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s, x_1, \dots, x_h) \supset I^{\psi}$  and generators  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  of  $I^{\psi}$  such that the following hold:*

- (a) *For  $j = 1, \dots, r$  we have  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2(f_j) \in \mathfrak{m}_s^2 / \mathfrak{m}_s^3$ , and the elements  $\bar{t}_0, \bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_r$  with  $g_j := \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2(f_j)$  form a regular sequence in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(\mathcal{R})} \cong \mathbb{F}[\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_h]$ , where  $t_0 = \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)$  and  $t_i = \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ .*
- (b) *The quotient ring  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(\mathcal{R})} / (\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_r)$  is an integral domain and one has  $(\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_r) = \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I^{\psi})$ .*

Theorem 1.4 will be proven after Corollary 3.6. A cohomological interpretation of the  $\bar{g}_j$  is given in Theorem 1.14.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.4 and some purely ring-theoretic results summarized in Proposition 2.2, we shall obtain the following main theorem in Section 2:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}$  be of degree 2 and suppose  $p > 2$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *The ring  $\bar{R}_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is a complete intersection.*
- (b) *The ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is a complete intersection and it is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (c) *The ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is an integral domain and in particular irreducible.*

In [Lemma 4.1](#) we show that it suffices to prove [Theorem 1.5](#) for any fixed choice of lift  $\psi$ , for instance for the Teichmüller lift of  $\det \rho$ .

**Remark 1.6.** Parts (a) and (b) of [Theorem 1.5](#) were obtained already in [\[2\]](#). In fact, our present proof heavily relies on the results of [\[2\]](#) because we shall simply quote the relations of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  in a minimal presentation from there. However, the present article allows one to redo much of [\[2\]](#) by working with the simpler ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}/\mathfrak{m}_s^3$ , and this would avoid most of the technical difficulties occurring in [\[2\]](#). An example of this is given by the proof of [Lemma 3.7](#).

**Remark 1.7.** It does not seem possible to show irreducibility when  $n = 2$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$  with ideas of the present article, i.e., by using suitable initial terms in an associated graded ring of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$ . For instance, if  $\bar{\rho}$  is the trivial representation, then it is simple to check that the natural degrees of such initial terms are 2 and 3 and that they form a regular sequence. But the resulting associated graded ring is not an integral domain! However, when  $K$  is a proper extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_2$ , as shown in the Master thesis of M. Kremer, the methods of this article suffice to show that  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is an integral domain for the trivial representation  $\bar{\rho}$ . For  $n = 2$ ,  $p = 2$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$ , see however [Remark 1.13](#).

### 1.3. Irreducible components of versal deformation spaces and Zariski density of crystalline points

Denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  the *versal deformation space* of a fixed residual representation  $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  that is the generic fiber over  $\mathcal{O}[1/p]$  of its versal deformation ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  in the sense of Berthelot, see [\[13, §7\]](#). The points of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  are in bijection with those  $p$ -adic representations of  $G_K$  that have a mod  $p$  reduction isomorphic to  $\bar{\rho}$ . To explain the consequences of the ring-theoretic results in [Theorem 1.5](#) to  $p$ -adic Galois representations, we introduce the following notions due to Colmez, Kisin and Nakamura:

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $V$  be a potentially crystalline  $p$ -adic representation of  $G_K$  of degree  $n$ .

- (i)  $V$  is called *regular* if for each embedding  $\sigma : K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  the Hodge–Tate weights of  $V \otimes_{K, \sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  are pairwise distinct.
- (ii)  $V$  is called *benign* if  $V$  is regular and the Frobenius eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  of (the filtered  $\varphi$ -module corresponding to)  $V$  are pairwise distinct and satisfy  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \neq p^f$ , for any  $i, j$ , with  $f = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ .

Using the following important structure result on the irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ , we show in [Lemma 4.2](#) that every component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  contains a regular crystalline point.

**Theorem 1.9.** Suppose  $p > 2$  and let  $\bar{\rho}$  be a residual representation of  $G_K$  of degree 2. Consider the canonical map  $\mathrm{Det} : \mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$  induced from mapping a deformation

of  $\bar{\rho}$  to its determinant. Then  $\text{Det}$  induces a bijection between the irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  and those of  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$ . Moreover, for both spaces, irreducible and connected components coincide. Lastly, the connected components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$  form a principal homogeneous space over the set  $\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$  of  $p$ -power roots contained in  $K$ .

The proof follows from [Theorem 1.5](#) and [Lemma 4.1](#), and is thus postponed to [Section 4](#).

**Question 1.10.** We wonder whether the assertions of [Theorem 1.9](#) hold for all representations  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  of any degree  $n$ , and any  $p$  and any finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ ? We also wonder if [Theorem 1.5](#) holds in this generality.

The following theorem is shown by methods similar to [\[22\]](#). It generalizes a result of Colmez and Kisin for  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , cf. [\[10, 22\]](#), and makes crucial use of an idea of Chenevier [\[29, Thm. 2.9\]](#).

**Theorem 1.11.** (See [\[28, Theorem 1.4\]](#).) Suppose  $n = 2$  and that every component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  contains a regular crystalline point. Then the Zariski closure of the benign crystalline points in  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  is non-empty and a union of irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ .

We remark that the above result is also proven for arbitrary  $n$ . This is due to Chenevier [\[8\]](#) for  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and to Nakamura [\[29\]](#) for arbitrary finite extensions  $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Using [Theorems 1.5 and 1.9](#), we show in [Section 4](#) that [Theorem 1.11](#) implies:

**Theorem 1.12.** Suppose  $n = 2$ ,  $p > 2$ ,  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  is any residual representation. Then the benign crystalline points are Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ .

In [Corollary 4.3](#), we prove analogs of [Theorems 1.9 and 1.12](#) for pseudo-representations, in the sense of Chenevier [\[9\]](#).

In the case  $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $n = 2$ , [Theorem 1.12](#) is an important ingredient in Colmez' proof of the  $p$ -adic local Langlands correspondence. In that case it is essentially due to Kisin, cf. [\[4\]](#), and it is used to establish the surjectivity of Colmez' functor  $V$ , which relies on an analytic continuation argument and the knowledge of the correspondence in the crystalline case; see [\[11, proof of Thm. II.3.3\]](#) or alternatively [\[22\]](#).

**Remark 1.13.** Suppose  $p = 2$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}_2$ . The assertions of [Theorems 1.9 and 1.12](#) for the universal framed deformation space of the trivial representation  $1 \oplus 1$  were proved by Colmez, Dospinescu and Paskunas [\[5, Thms. 1.1 and 1.2\]](#). The assertion of [Theorem 1.9](#) was proved by Chenevier in the case  $n = 2$  if the residual representation is an extension of two distinct characters, and for arbitrary  $n$  if the residual representation is absolutely irreducible [\[7, Cor. 4.2\]](#). In these two cases the assertion of [Theorem 1.12](#) is deduced in [\[5, Rem. 9.8\]](#).

#### 1.4. Generation of quadratic parts of relation ideals through cohomological operations

One possible source of obstruction classes in  $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  stems from the cup product in cohomology: Namely, if one composes the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]: \text{ad}^0 \times \text{ad}^0 \rightarrow \text{ad}^0$ ,  $(A, B) \mapsto AB - BA$ , with the cup product  $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \times H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes \text{ad}^0)$ , which are both alternating, one obtains a symmetric  $\mathbb{F}$ -bilinear pairing

$$b: H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \times H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0),$$

often called the *bracket cup product*. As remarked in [24, §1.6], if  $p \neq 2$  the pairing  $b$  gives the quadratic relations (up to higher terms) satisfied by a minimal set of formal parameters for  $\bar{R}_\rho^\psi$ . We shall prove this and give a precise interpretation in Lemma 5.2.

In Section 6, we shall explain how further information on the relation ideal  $I^\psi$  may arise from cohomology, namely from a Bockstein homomorphism  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}: H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . The Bockstein homomorphism can be defined whenever  $\bar{\rho}$  admits a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_s = \mathcal{O}/\varpi_s^s \mathcal{O}$  for some  $s$ . It measures to what extent lifts from the dual number  $\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]$  can be lifted to  $\mathcal{O}_s[\varepsilon]$ . In Section 6 we then combine the bracket cup product with the Bockstein homomorphism, to show that these two cohomological operations (essentially) suffice to describe the refined quadratic relations in a minimal presentation of  $R_\rho^\psi$ .

The results of Sections 5 and 6 have the following consequences. First, we complement Theorem 1.4:

**Theorem 1.14.** *Let the notation be as in Theorem 1.4. Then in addition to the assertions of Theorem 1.4, the following hold:*

- (a) *The elements  $\bar{g}_j$  are the images of an  $\mathbb{F}$ -basis of  $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)^\vee$  under the composite of the dual obstruction homomorphism  $\text{obs}^\vee: H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)^\vee \rightarrow I^\psi/\mathfrak{m}_R I^\psi$  with the canonical homomorphism  $I^\psi/\mathfrak{m}_R I^\psi \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_s^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_s^3$ .*
- (b) *The dual of the map  $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)^\vee \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_s^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_s^3$  from (a) factors via  $-\frac{1}{2}b \oplus -\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}$ .*

We prove Theorem 1.14 at the end of Section 6 by verifying the hypotheses needed to apply Theorem 6.8. In particular, this shows that cohomological information alone suffices to deduce all parts of Theorem 1.5.

Second, we observe in Example 2.3 that the bracket cup product alone need not suffice to show that  $R_\rho^\psi$  is an integral domain. Thus important ring-theoretic information is not visible by the bracket cup product but requires in addition the Bockstein homomorphism.

Third, our results show that for 2-dimensional residual representations of  $G_K$  for  $p > 2$  the refined quadratic part of  $I^\psi$  in a minimal presentation of  $R_\rho^\psi$  suffices to prove Theorem 1.5. Theorem 6.8 then explains that essentially the cohomological operations suffice to deduce all ring-theoretic properties we are interested in.

The third point above is particular to the set-up we work in. For general fields  $K$  little is known about the pairing  $b$  and whether it generates a significant portion of the

elements in the relation ideal  $I^\psi$  of [Proposition 1.3](#). However for  $K$  a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $p > 2$ , the universal deformation  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R_{\bar{\rho}})$  factors via a profinite group that is an extension of a finite group by the pro- $p$ -completion  $\widehat{G}_L$  of the absolute Galois group of a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$ . The group  $\widehat{G}_L$  is either a free pro- $p$  group or a Demushkin group, and topologically finitely generated. In the former case,  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  will be unobstructed. In the latter case  $\widehat{G}_L$  is isomorphic to the pro- $p$  completion of a group on generators  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$  with a single relation  $a_1^q \cdot (a_1, b_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_g, b_g) = 1$ , where  $g = [L : \mathbb{Q}_p]$  and  $(x, y)$  denotes the commutator bracket  $x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ ; cf. [\[23\]](#) for the classification of Demushkin groups. The Demushkin case should be compared with the deformation results [\[17,18\]](#) by Goldmann and Millson, as already suggested in [\[24\]](#). Goldman and Millson study the deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds, and show in this context that all relations in a minimal presentation of their deformation rings are purely quadratic. A typical example is the fundamental group of a compact Riemann surface, which is a group on  $2g$  generators  $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g$  subject to a single relation  $(a_1, b_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (a_g, b_g) = 1$ . The formal similarity of the relation except for the term  $a_1^q$  suggests that the deformation rings might be very similar. The term  $a_1^q$  might explain the importance of the Bockstein homomorphism when trying to detect the refined quadratic relations from cohomology.

### 1.5. Outline of the article

We briefly explain the organization of the article. In [Section 2](#), we adapt some results from commutative algebra in the way we later wish to apply them. In particular, these results give a sufficient criterion for certain rings  $R$  in  $\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  to be complete intersections and to be integral domains in terms of homogeneous initial terms of a presentation of  $R$ . The main results of [Section 2](#) together with [Theorem 1.4](#) imply the ring-theoretic properties stated in [Theorem 1.5](#). In [Section 3](#), we recall the explicit presentations of the versal deformation rings for 2-dimensional representations  $\bar{\rho}$  from [\[2\]](#). In [Lemma 3.7](#), we also give a detailed treatment of some results from [\[2, §8\]](#), whose proofs are somewhat sketchy. At the end of [Section 3](#), we give the proof of [Theorem 1.4](#).

The short proof of the Zariski-density of crystalline points in local deformation spaces is the content of [Section 4](#). We end this article with [Sections 5](#) and [6](#) with (presumably well-known) results regarding the bracket cup product and the Bockstein homomorphism. These results might be relevant for tackling higher dimensional cases in future work. The proof of [Theorem 1.14](#) ends [Section 6](#).

## 2. Results from commutative algebra

The aim of this section is to prove some results in commutative algebra in order to deduce from [Theorem 1.4](#) the ring-theoretic results stated in [Theorem 1.5](#). In particular, we wish to transfer ring-theoretic properties from a certain associated graded ring to the ring itself. Recall that above [Theorem 1.4](#) we define an initial term map  $\mathbf{in}_n$  from a ring



$R$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  to the associated graded ring  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R$  with respect to a proper ideal  $\mathfrak{n} \subset R$ , and that we write  $\mathcal{O}_i$  for  $\mathcal{O}/\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i \mathcal{O}$ ,  $\bar{R}$  for  $R/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} R$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_R$  for  $\mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}} R$  and  $\bar{x}$  for the image of  $x \in R$  in  $\bar{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For a ring  $R$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  and proper ideals  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r), \mathfrak{n} \subset R$ , the following hold:*

- (a) *If  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R$  is an integral domain, then so is  $R$ .*
- (b) *If  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a regular sequence in  $R$  so that  $R/I$  is an integral domain, then  $R$  is an integral domain.*
- (c) *The natural homomorphism  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R \rightarrow \text{gr}_{(\mathfrak{n}+I)/I}(R/I)$  induces an isomorphism*

$$(\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R)/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(I) \cong \text{gr}_{(\mathfrak{n}+I)/I}(R/I).$$

- (d) *If  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_r)$  is a regular sequence in  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R$ , then  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(I) = (\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_r))$ .*
- (e) *If  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{n}}(f_r)$  is a regular sequence in  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{n}} R$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  is a regular sequence in  $R$ .*

**Proof.** Part (a) is [15, Cor. 5.5], and (b) follows by induction on  $r$ : For  $r = 1$  we have a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f_1} R \rightarrow R/(f_1) \rightarrow 0$  so that  $\text{gr}_{(f_1)} R \cong R/(f_1)[t]$ , and  $R$  is an integral domain by (a). Parts (c), (d) and (e) are [31, middle p. 94], [31, Prop. 2.1] and [31, Cor. 2.7], respectively.  $\square$

The next result is a refinement of Lemma 2.1 suited for our purposes. As a preparation we introduce the following graded ring. Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_s$  the ideal  $(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s, x_1, \dots, x_h)$  of  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]^2$  for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . Setting  $t_0 := \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)$  and  $t_i := \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(x_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , we have  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{O}_s[t_0, \dots, t_h]$ ,  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{F}[\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_h]$  and  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}} \cong \mathbb{F}[\bar{t}_1, \dots, \bar{t}_h]$ , where  $\bar{t}_i$  is identified with  $\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{x}_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{m}_s$  and  $s$  be as above, and let  $I \subset \mathcal{R}$  be an ideal generated by elements  $f_1, \dots, f_r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *If  $\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_r)$  is a regular sequence in  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ , then so is  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, f_1, \dots, f_r$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{I}) = (\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_r))$  in  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (b) *If  $\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_r)$  is a regular sequence in  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}/\mathfrak{in}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{I})$  is an integral domain, then also  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is an integral domain.*

<sup>2</sup> In this section, and here only, by  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}})$  we denote a formally smooth ring over  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  and not necessarily a ring in a presentation as in Proposition 1.3.

- (c) If  $\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_r)}$  is a regular sequence in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s} \mathcal{R}}$ , then so is  $f_1, \dots, f_r$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In this case,  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(I) = (\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_r))$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(I)} = (\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_r)})$ .
- (d) If  $\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f_r)}, \bar{t}_0$  is a regular sequence in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s} \mathcal{R}}$  and  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s} \mathcal{R} / \overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(I)}}$  is an integral domain, then also  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is an integral domain.

We postpone the proof of [Proposition 2.2](#), and first explain some of its content.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** [Theorem 1.4](#) together with [Proposition 2.2](#) applied to the relation ideal  $I^\psi$  imply the assertions of [Theorem 1.5](#) on  $R_\rho^\psi \cong \mathcal{R}/I^\psi$ .  $\square$

The following instructive example shows the benefits of using the graded ring associated with the ideal  $\mathbf{m}_s$  in (c) and (d) instead of the one associated with  $\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}$  in (a) and (b).

**Example 2.3.** Define  $R := \mathcal{R}/I$  for  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[x_1, x_2, x_3]]$ ,  $I = (f)$  with  $f = qx_1 - x_2x_3$ ,  $q = p^s$  and  $s \geq 1$  an integer.<sup>3</sup> Then by [Proposition 2.2\(a\)](#)  $\mathbf{in}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{I}) = (\mathbf{in}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f})) = (\bar{t}_2\bar{t}_3)$  in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{F}[\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_3]$ , and criterion (b) fails to show that  $R$  is an integral domain since  $\bar{t}_2$  and  $\bar{t}_3$  are nonzero zero divisors in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{R} / \overline{\mathbf{in}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{I})}}$ . However, if we consider the graded ring of  $\mathcal{R}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{m}_s = (q, x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f)} = \bar{t}_0\bar{t}_1 - \bar{t}_2\bar{t}_3$  lies in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}} \subset \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s} \mathcal{R}} = \mathbb{F}[\bar{t}_0, \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_3]$  and  $R$  is an integral domain by [Proposition 2.2\(d\)](#).

In [Sections 5](#) and [6](#), we show that one can use cohomological methods to compute the quadratic relations in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  resp.  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  from the above example. To distinguish there between these two quadratic relations, we introduce the following notions:

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_s$  and  $s$  be as above, and let  $f \in \mathcal{R}$ .

- (a) If  $\bar{f} \in \bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2$ , then the *quadratic part* of  $f$  is  $\mathbf{in}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}^{(2)}) \in \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \mathcal{R}}$ , where  $\bar{f}^{(2)}$  is the homogeneous part of  $\bar{f}$  of degree 2 with respect to the grading of  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  defined by  $\bar{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}$ .
- (b) If  $f \in \mathbf{m}_s^2$ , then the *refined quadratic part* of  $f$  is  $\overline{\mathbf{in}_{\mathbf{m}_s}(f^{(2)})} \in \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$ , where  $f^{(2)}$  is the homogeneous part of  $f$  of degree 2 with respect to the grading of  $\mathcal{R}$  defined by  $\mathbf{m}_s$ .

The (*refined*) *quadratic part* of an ideal  $I \subset \mathcal{R}$  consists of the (refined) quadratic parts of all elements in  $I$ .

**Proof of Proposition 2.2.** It follows from the hypothesis of (a) and [Lemma 2.1\(e\)](#) that  $(\bar{f}_j)_{j=1, \dots, r}$  is a regular sequence in  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . Since clearly  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a non-zero divisor of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

<sup>3</sup> The relation ideal of the ring  $\tilde{R}$  from [\[4, Thm. 5\]](#) has the shape  $6d - bc$  modulo  $\mathbf{m}_1^3$ , where  $p = 3$ . So in a qualitative sense  $R$  occurs as a versal deformation ring. At the expense of heavy notation, one could also use  $\tilde{R}$  in the example.

the first assertion of (a) is proved. From Lemma 2.1(d) it follows that  $\mathbf{in}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{I}) = (\mathbf{in}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\bar{f}_r))$ . Finally, since  $\mathcal{R}$  is local, the order of the elements in the regular sequence  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}, f_1, \dots, f_r$  is arbitrary. Hence from the definition of a regular sequence it follows that  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a non-zero divisor of the  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , which means precisely that the latter algebra is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

To prove (b), we deduce by Lemma 2.1(c) and (a) that  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}/\bar{I}$  is an integral domain. By the last assertion of (a) and Lemma 2.1(b) we also have that  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is an integral domain.

For the proof of (c), we define  $R_i := \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/(\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_i))$  for  $i = 0, \dots, r$  and  $g_i$  as the image of  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_i)$  in  $R_i$ . By the remarks preceding the proposition,  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{O}_s[t_0, \dots, t_h]$  and clearly this ring is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$ . By our hypothesis,  $\bar{g}_i$  is a non-zero divisor of  $\overline{R_{i-1}}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . We claim, and prove this by induction on  $i$ , that  $R_i$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$  and that  $g_i$  is a non-zero divisor of  $R_{i-1}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . If this is proved, then we have shown that  $\mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_r)$  is a regular sequence in  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}$ . Then the first assertion of (c) follows from Lemma 2.1(e). The first equality of ideals in (c) follows from Lemma 2.1(d) and the assertion just proved, the second is immediate by reduction modulo  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ .

To prove the claim, we consider for some  $j = 2, \dots, s$  the following diagram obtained by tensoring the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{j-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow 0$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules with the right exact sequence  $R_{i-1} \rightarrow R_{i-1} \rightarrow R_i \rightarrow 0$  where the map on the left is multiplication by  $g_i$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{j-1} \otimes R_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_j \otimes R_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} \otimes R_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \otimes g_i & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \otimes g_i & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \otimes g_i \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{j-1} \otimes R_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_j \otimes R_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} \otimes R_{i-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \mathcal{O}_{j-1} \otimes R_i & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_j \otimes R_i & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} \otimes R_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

We assume that the claim is proved for  $i - 1$ . Then the two top horizontal sequences are exact since by induction hypothesis the ring  $R_{i-1}$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$ . The left and middle vertical sequences are exact because the tensor product is right exact. The right vertical sequence is exact, because  $\bar{g}_i$  is a non-zero divisor of  $\overline{R_{i-1}}$  by hypothesis.

While  $i$  is fixed, we proceed by induction on  $j = 2, \dots, s$  to show that all rows and columns in the above diagram are in fact left exact as well: In each induction step, the left-most column is a short exact sequence by induction hypothesis. This implies the

same for the middle column and it follows that all columns are short exact sequences. In this situation, the 9-lemma implies that the lower row is also a short exact sequence, and the induction step is complete. If we consider the central column for  $j = s$ , then this shows that  $g_i$  is a non-zero divisor of  $R_{i-1}$ . If we consider the lower row for  $j = s$ , we see that  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_s}(\mathbb{F}, R_i) = 0$  and hence that  $R_i$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$ . This proves the claim.

Finally, we prove (d). By the proof of (c), we know that the ring  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$  and its reduction modulo  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)}$ . Consider elements  $f, g$  in  $\mathcal{R} \setminus I$ . We claim that there exist integers  $a, b \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  such that  $f' = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a f$  and  $g' = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^b g$  have non-zero image in

$$\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)} \cong \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{(\mathfrak{m}_s + I)/I}(\mathcal{R}/I)} \cong \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (\mathfrak{m}_s^i + I)/(\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^i + I),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.1(c). If the claim is shown, then this means that there exist  $i, j \geq -1$  such that  $f' \in (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I) \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+2} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I)$  and  $g' \in (\mathfrak{m}_s^{j+1} + I) \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_s^{j+2} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{j+1} + I)$ . Since by hypothesis  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)}$  is an integral domain, it follows that  $f'g' \in (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+j+2} + I) \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+j+3} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+j+2} + I)$  and hence that the class of  $f'g'$  is non-zero in  $\mathcal{R}/I$ . But  $f'g' = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{a+b} fg$ , and we deduce that the class of  $fg$  is non-zero in  $\mathcal{R}/I$  and thus assertion (d) follows.

To prepare the proof of the claim, we make some technical remarks:

- (i) We have  $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_s$  since the hypothesis of (d) implies that  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f_i) \notin \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{m}_s$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . In particular,  $(\mathfrak{m}_s + I)/I = \mathfrak{m}_s/I$ .
- (ii) If  $f \in \mathfrak{m}_s^j \setminus \mathfrak{m}_s^{j+1} + I$  for  $j \geq 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(f) = \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(f + I)$ . In particular,  $t_0 = \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s + I)$  since by hypothesis  $\bar{t}_0$  is a non-zero divisor in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)}$  so that  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \in \mathfrak{m}_s \setminus \mathfrak{m}_s^2 + I$ .
- (iii) If  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^b \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(h) \neq 0$  for  $h \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $0 < b < s$ , then  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^b \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(h) = \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^b h)$  as follows from the definition of multiplication on  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I} \mathcal{R}/I$ .
- (iv) The graded components  $M^j = \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}^j(\mathcal{R}/I) \cong \mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^j \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)$  are finite over the ring  $\mathcal{O}_s = M^0$ . At the beginning of (d) we observed that the  $M^j$  are flat over  $\mathcal{O}_s$ , and hence they are finite and free over  $\mathcal{O}_s$ . In particular one has  $\mathrm{Ker}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^b: M^j \rightarrow M^j) = \mathrm{Im}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-b}: M^j \rightarrow M^j)$ .

We now verify the claim for  $f$ ; the proof for  $g$  is analogous. Choose  $i \geq 0$  such that  $f \in (\mathfrak{m}_s^i + I) \setminus (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I)$ . This is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(f + I)$  lying in the  $i$ -th graded piece of  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(\mathcal{R}/I)$ . If the image of  $\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(f + I)$  in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(\mathcal{R}/I)}$  is non-zero, we choose  $a = 0$  and are done. Else we have  $f \in \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^i + \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$ , and since  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}(I)$  is annihilated by  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s$ , we can find  $a \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$  such that  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{a-1} f \notin (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I)$  but  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a f \in (\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I)$ . To prove the claim, it remains to show that  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a f$  does not lie in  $\mathfrak{m}_s^{i+2} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$ .

By (iv), there exists  $f_0 \in \mathfrak{m}_s^i \setminus \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$  such that  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-a} \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(f_0 + I) = \mathfrak{in}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(f + I)$  in  $\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s/I}(\mathcal{R}/I)$ . In terms of ideals this means  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-a} f_0 - f \in \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$ , using (iii), and

$f_0 \notin \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^i + \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$ . By (ii) and the hypothesis in (d), the element  $\bar{t}_0$  is a non-zero divisor of  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} I / I(\mathcal{R}/I)}$ , and so we have  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s f_0 \notin \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+2} + I$ . This implies  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a f \notin \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+2} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + I$ , because we have

$$\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s f_0 - \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a f = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a \cdot (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-a} f_0 - f) \in \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^a I \subset \varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+1} + \mathfrak{m}_s^{i+2} + I. \quad \square$$

We end this section with a simple result on regular sequences, flatness and integral domains:

**Lemma 2.5.** *Suppose  $I$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$  such that  $I$  is minimally generated by  $m := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} I / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I$  elements. Suppose  $g_1, \dots, g_l$  are elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  and let  $J = I + \mathcal{R}g_1 + \dots + \mathcal{R}g_l$ .*

- (a) *If  $\mathcal{R}/J$  is a complete intersection ring of Krull dimension  $h + 1 - l - m$ , then  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is a complete intersection ring and  $I$  is generated by a regular  $\mathcal{R}$ -sequence.*
- (b) *If (a) holds and if  $\mathcal{R}/J$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (c) *If (a) holds and if  $\mathcal{R}/J$  is an integral domain, then  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is an integral domain.*

**Proof.** By induction, it suffices to prove the lemma for  $l = 1$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  denote a minimal set of generators of  $I$ . The hypothesis of (a) implies that  $\mathcal{R}/(f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1)$  is a complete intersection ring of dimension  $h + 1 - m - 1 = h - m$ . It follows that  $f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1$  must be a regular sequence, and now (a) is immediate. To see (b), observe that its hypothesis implies that  $\mathcal{R}/(J + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}})$  is a complete intersection ring of Krull dimension  $h - l - m$ . It follows from (a) that  $f_1, \dots, f_m, g_1, \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is a regular sequence. Part (b) is now clear. For (c) note that since  $g_1 \pmod{I}$  is a non-zero divisor in  $\mathcal{R}/I$  by the proof of (a), we may now apply Lemma 2.1(b) to complete (c).  $\square$

### 3. Explicit presentations of the versal deformation rings

In order to prove Theorem 1.4 using the explicit minimal presentations of versal deformation rings computed in [2], we note that we can work over the ring of Witt vectors  $W(\mathbb{F})$  by [6, A.1]. First we need to introduce some notation: Denote by  $H$  the image of a fixed residual representation  $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  of degree two, and by  $U$  a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $H$ . Since  $G_K$  is prosolvable, the group  $H$  is solvable. Either  $\#H$  is of order prime to  $p$ , or  $U$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$ . By the lemma of Schur and Zassenhaus, we can find a subgroup  $G$  of  $H$  of order prime to  $p$  such that  $U \rtimes G = H$ . By  $\bar{H}, \bar{G}$  we denote the images of  $H, G$  in  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ . Note that  $U$  is isomorphic to its image in  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  because its order is prime to the order of  $\mathbb{F}^*$  and hence we may identify  $U$  with its image. The following can now be deduced from Dickson's classification of finite subgroups of  $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ , see [20, II.7]. The group  $\bar{G}$  is either cyclic or dihedral and if  $U$  is non-trivial,  $\bar{G}$  must be cyclic (we assume  $p > 2$ ). We also introduce finite extensions  $L \supset F \supset K$  in a fixed algebraic closure of  $K$  by the conditions  $G_L = \ker(\bar{\rho}) \subset G_F = \bar{\rho}^{-1}(U) \subset G_K$ .

For a character  $\xi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  we denote by  $\mathbb{F}^\xi$  the one-dimensional vector space  $\mathbb{F}$  together with the action via  $\xi$ . We let  $\text{triv} : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  be the trivial character and  $\varepsilon : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  be the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character. Observe that  $\text{ad} = \text{End}(\bar{\rho}) \cong \bar{\rho} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \bar{\rho}^\vee \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \text{ad}^0$  since  $p > 2$  and thus  $\text{ad}^0 \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{ad}^0, \mathbb{F})$ . Using local Tate duality, one obtains that  $H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \cong ((\text{ad}^0)^U \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon)^G$ .

In the remainder of this section, we distinguish the following five cases.

(A)  $\bar{G} \neq \{1\}$  is cyclic and  $U$  is trivial. Then  $\bar{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  for some characters  $\xi, \eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ . Moreover,

$$\text{ad} \cong (\mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})^2 \oplus \mathbb{F}^\xi \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{ad}^0)^U \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi\varepsilon} \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}\varepsilon}.$$

(B)  $\bar{G} \neq \{1\}$  is cyclic and  $U$  is nontrivial. Then  $\bar{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \star \\ 0 & \xi \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  for some characters  $\xi, \eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ ; here  $\star$  denotes a non-trivial extension, i.e., a non-trivial class in  $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F}^\xi)$ . Moreover,

$$(\text{ad})^U \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{ad}^0)^U \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}\varepsilon}.$$

(C)  $\bar{G}$  is dihedral. Then  $\bar{H} = \bar{G}$ , and  $\bar{U}$  is trivial. By [27, Prop. 2.1.1], there exists a character  $\xi'$  of a normal cyclic subgroup  $C_n$  of  $\bar{G}$  of index 2 such that  $\bar{\rho} \sim \text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}}(\xi')$ . Then we have

$$\text{ad} \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \mathbb{F}^\varphi \oplus \text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}^0 \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{F}^{\varphi\varepsilon} \oplus \text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\xi \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon,$$

where  $\varphi : \bar{G}/C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  is the unique non-trivial character of order two and  $\xi : C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$  is the character  $g \mapsto \xi'(g)^{1-\#k_K}$  for  $k_K$  the residue field of  $K$ .

(D)  $\bar{G}$  and  $\bar{U}$  are trivial. Then  $\bar{H}$  is trivial, and  $H$  is in the scalars of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ . Moreover,

$$\text{ad} \cong (\mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})^4 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ad}^0 \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \cong (\mathbb{F}^\varepsilon)^3.$$

(E)  $\bar{G}$  is trivial and  $\bar{U}$  is nontrivial. Then  $\bar{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  for some character  $\eta : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ , where  $\star$  denotes a non-trivial extension. Moreover,

$$(\text{ad})^U \cong (\mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{ad}^0)^U \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \cong \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon.$$

**Remark 3.1.** We would like to correct a mistake in [2, Lem. 6.1] when  $U$  is nontrivial. As the character  $\psi$  defined at the beginning of [2, §5] corresponds to the character  $\xi^{-1}$  in the notation used here, in [2, Lem. 6.1] the line  $((\text{ad}_{\bar{\rho}})^U \otimes \mu_p(L))^G \cong (k^\chi \oplus k^{\psi^{-1}\chi})^G$  should be replaced by  $((\text{ad}_{\bar{\rho}})^U \otimes \mu_p(L))^G \cong (k^\chi \oplus k^{\psi\chi})^G$ . Further, in case (ix) the condition should read  $\chi = \psi^{-1}$  and not  $\chi = \psi$  as written.

We know from [2, Theorem 2.6] that the versal deformation ring is isomorphic to the quotient  $W(\mathbb{F})[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]/I^\psi$ , where  $I^\psi$  is generated by exactly  $h_2 := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  relations.

**Lemma 3.2.** (Cf. [2, Lem. 6.1].) *If  $\mu_{p^\infty}(F) = \{1\}$ , then  $h_2 = 0$ . Else, the dimensions  $h_2$  and  $h$  take the following values in the cases (A)–(E) introduced above.*

- (A) (i) If  $\varepsilon = \text{triv}$ , then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2$ ;  
(ii) If  $\varepsilon = \xi$  and the order of  $\xi$  is two, then  $h_2 = 2$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 3$ ;  
(iii) If  $\varepsilon = \xi$  or  $\varepsilon = \xi^{-1}$  and  $\xi \neq \xi^{-1}$ , then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2$ ;  
(iv) In all other cases  $h_2 = 0$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ .
- (B) (i) If  $\varepsilon = \xi^{-1}$  and the order of  $\xi$  is two, then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ ;  
(ii) If  $\varepsilon = \xi^{-1}$  and  $\xi \neq \xi^{-1}$ , then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ ;<sup>4</sup>  
(iii) In all other cases  $h_2 = 0$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ .
- (C) (i) If  $\varepsilon = \varphi$ , then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ ;  
(ii) In all other cases  $h_2 = 0$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ .
- (D) (i) If  $\varepsilon = \text{triv}$ , then  $h_2 = 3$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 6$ ;  
(ii) In all other cases  $h_2 = 0$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 3$ .
- (E) (i) If  $\varepsilon = \text{triv}$ , then  $h_2 = 1$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2$ ;  
(ii) In all other cases  $h_2 = 0$  and  $h = 3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 1$ .

**Proof.** If  $F$  contains no  $p$ -power roots of unity, then the maximal pro- $p$  quotient  $G_F(p)$  of  $G_F$  is a free pro- $p$  group and  $h_2 = 0$  by [23, §1.4]. Otherwise we use the above decompositions of  $\text{ad} \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \text{ad}^0$  and  $(\text{ad}^0)^U \otimes \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon$  in the cases (A)–(E), and obtain the values of  $h_2$  and  $h_0 := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^0(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . Recall next that the Euler–Poincaré characteristic of  $\text{ad}^0$  is  $3[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] = -h_0 + h - h_2$  from which one computes  $h$ .  $\square$

For the following explicit descriptions of minimal presentations of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$ , we recall the functor  $E_\Pi$  from [2, Proposition 2.3]. It is always representable and its universal ring is a versal hull for  $D_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$ . To describe  $E_\Pi$  we need to fix some notation. Since  $U$  is a  $p$ -group in  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$  we shall assume that  $U$  lies in the set of unipotent upper triangular matrices  $U_2(\mathbb{F})$ . If  $U$  is non-trivial, let  $\{g_n\}_n$  be a minimal set of topological generators of the maximal pro- $p$  quotient  $G_F(p)$  of  $G_F$  so that the  $\bar{\rho}(g_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{u}_n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $\bar{u}_n \in \mathbb{F}$  generate  $U$  as a  $G$ -module. If  $U$  is non-trivial, there is a smallest index  $i_0$  for which  $\bar{u}_{i_0}$  is a unit. Then by conjugation by an element of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}^*$ , which clearly lifts to  $\text{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F}))$ , we will assume from now on that  $\bar{u}_{i_0} = 1$ . For any ring  $R$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  we denote by  $\tilde{\Gamma}_2(R)$  the inverse image of  $U_2(\mathbb{F})$  under the reduction homomorphism  $\text{SL}_2(R) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F})$ . We set  $\bar{\alpha} := \bar{\rho}|_{G_F(p)}$ . If  $\bar{G} = \{1\}$ , then we define the functor  $E_\Pi: \widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  by sending  $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$  to the set

<sup>4</sup> The reason for not combining (i) and (ii) in case (B) into a single case is that the cases of Lemma 3.2 are used throughout this section, and in later parts the distinction is necessary.

$$\left\{ \alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(G_F(p), \tilde{\Gamma}_2(R)) \mid \alpha(g_{i_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha \equiv \bar{\alpha} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R} \right\}$$

if  $U$  is non-trivial, and else to  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(G_F(p), \tilde{\Gamma}_2(R))$ . Observe that  $E_\Pi(\mathbb{F}) = \{\bar{\alpha}\}$ . As noted above,  $E_\Pi$  is representable and its universal ring, we write  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , is isomorphic to  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$ . The gain is that it is rather elementary to write down explicitly  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$ .

Lastly, we define  $q$  as the number of  $p$ -power roots of unity contained in  $F$  and  $g_q$  as the polynomial

$$g_q(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{(q-1)/2} \frac{q}{(2k+1)!} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (q^2 - (2j+1)^2)x^k.$$

Note that the polynomial  $g_q$  lies in fact in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Remark 3.3.** We take this opportunity to correct another mistake from [2, Rem. 5.5(i)]: In the formulas for  $a_{n,k}$  and  $b_{n,k}$ , the expressions  $(2k)!$  and  $(2k+1)!$ , respectively, should be in the denominator.

**Theorem 3.4.** (Cf. [2, Thm. 6.2 and Rem. 6.3(iv)].) Suppose  $\mu_{p^\infty}(F) \neq \{1\}$  and set  $m := [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ . There exists a minimal presentation  $0 \rightarrow I^\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \rightarrow 0$  of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $I^\psi$  are as follows in the respective cases of the previous lemma.

- (A) (i)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{d_j\}_{j=0}^{m+1}]]$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=1}^m c_i b_{m-i+1} - ((1+d_0)^q - 1)(1+d_0)^{-\frac{q}{2}})$ ;  
(ii)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}_{i=0}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=0}^m b_i d_{m-i} - b_0 g_q(b_0 c_0), -\sum_{i=0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - c_0 g_q(b_0 c_0))$ ;  
(iii) If  $\varepsilon = \psi$ , then  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, d_i\}_{i=0}^m, \{c_j\}_{j=1}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=0}^m d_i b_{m-i} - q b_0)$ ;  
If  $\varepsilon = \psi^{-1}$ , then  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{c_j, d_j\}_{j=0}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=0}^m d_i c_{m-i} - q c_0)$ ;  
(iv)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{d_j\}_{j=0}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (0)$ .
- (B) (i)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}_{i=0}^m]]/(b_{i_0}, d_{m-i_0})$  and  $I^\psi = (-\sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - \delta_{i_0}(c_0 + 2c_{i_0}b_0) \cdot g_q(b_0(c_0 + c_{i_0}b_0)) - 2(1 - \delta_{i_0})c_0 g_q(c_0))$ , where  $\delta_{i_0} \in \{0, 1\}$  is 0 if  $i_0 = 0$  and else 1;  
(ii)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{c_j, d_j\}_{j=0}^m]]/(b_{i_0})$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - q c_0)$ ;  
(iii)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i, c_i, d_i\}_{i=1}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (0)$ .
- (C) (i)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}, \{d_j\}_{j=0}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (\sum_{i=1}^m b_i b_{2m-i+1} - ((1+d_0)^{\frac{q}{2}} - (1+d_0)^{-\frac{q}{2}}))$ ;  
(ii)  $\mathcal{R} = W(\mathbb{F})[[\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{2m}, \{d_j\}_{j=1}^m]]$  and  $I^\psi = (0)$ .



**Remark 3.5.** We point out that in front of the sum  $\sum c_i d_{m-i}$  in the second generator of the relation ideals in [2, Theorem 6.2(ii) and Prop. 7.3(ii)] a minus sign is missing. This originates from a sign mistake in [2, Lem. 5.6(B)]: There the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i \\ c_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  should read instead  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b_i \\ -c_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Proof.** The relation ideal of the versal hull of the deformation functor without fixing the determinant is listed in the respective cases in [2, Theorem 6.2]. We remark that the relation  $(1 + a_0)^q - 1$  from there is omitted due to our condition on the determinant, and we used a change of variables according to [2, Remark 6.3(iv)] to simplify the expressions for the relations and variables. In order to obtain the right number of indeterminates of the power series ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , we follow the steps described in the proof of [2, Theorem 2.6].

Since by assumption  $F$  contains a  $p$ -power root of unity,  $G_F(p)$  is a Demuškin group, and its Frattini quotient  $\bar{G}_F(p)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{F}_p[G]^m$  as a  $G$ -module. By the Burnside basis theorem, there are closed subgroups  $P_n$  of  $G_F(p)$  such that the Frattini quotients  $\bar{P}_n$  of  $P_n$  are irreducible and  $\bar{G}_F(p) = \bigoplus_n \bar{P}_n$ . Since the tangent space  $t_E := E_\Pi(\mathbb{F}[t]/(t^2))$  of  $E_\Pi$  is isomorphic to the tangent space  $t_D$  and  $\text{ad}^0 \cong \tilde{\Gamma}_2(\mathbb{F}[t]/(t^2))$  as a  $G$ -module, we have  $h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_D = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_E \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_G(\bar{G}_F(p), \text{ad}^0)$ . We can compute the right hand side in terms of those  $G$ -submodules  $\bar{P}_n$  of  $\bar{G}_F(p)$  that occur in decompositions of both  $\bar{G}_F(p)$  and  $\text{ad}^0$  into irreducible  $G$ -modules, because the  $G$ -submodules that do not occur in a decomposition of  $\text{ad}^0$  have trivial image (prime-to-adjoint principle). As remarked in [2, §6], the multiplicities of the  $G$ -submodules occurring in a decomposition of  $\text{ad}^0$  are  $(\bar{G}_F(p), \text{Ind}_{\bar{C}_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\psi)_G = 2m$  if  $\bar{G}$  is dihedral,  $(\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^\tau)_G = m$  for any non-trivial character  $\tau \neq \varepsilon$ , and  $(\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})_G = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon)_G = m + 1 + \delta_K$ , where  $(X, Y)_G := \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{Hom}_G(X, Y))$  for  $G$ -modules and  $\delta_K$  is 1 if  $\varepsilon$  acts trivially and 0 otherwise. By [2, Lem. 5.3], we can choose  $x_n \in P_n$  such that  $Gx_n$  topologically generates  $P_n$ , and whose image under a homomorphism  $\alpha : P_n \rightarrow \Gamma_n(R)$  is either the identity if  $\bar{P}_n$  does not occur in a decomposition of  $\text{ad}^0$  or a matrix of the type

$$S(b, c) := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+bc} & b \\ c & \sqrt{1+bc} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad D(d) := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+d} & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1+d}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for any ring  $R$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$  and  $b, c, d \in \mathfrak{m}_R$ . If  $U$  is non-trivial, we shall take for the  $g_n$  in the definition of  $E_\Pi$  the generators  $x_n$ . If  $\bar{\rho}(x_0) \neq \text{id}$ , then we take  $g_1 := x_0$ , else we shall assume that  $g_1 := x_1$  by a suitable permutation of the indices  $n$ . In cases (A)–(C), we will consider the power series ring  $\mathcal{R}$  over  $W(\mathbb{F})$  in the variables  $b, c, d$  occurring in the images  $S(b, c)$  and  $D(d)$  of all generators. Then we will obtain the universal object  $(R_{\bar{\alpha}}, \alpha_{\bar{\alpha}})$  representing  $E_\Pi$ , where  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is the quotient ring of  $\mathcal{R}$  modulo the respective relations in terms of the variables  $b, c, d$  from [2, Lemma 5.6 and Theorem 6.2].

We begin with explicitly describing  $\mathcal{R}$  and the relation ideal  $I^\psi$  in case (A). Then we have that  $\text{ad}^0 \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}$  and  $h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_E = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})_G + (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon)_G +$

$(\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}})_G$ . The following table displays the respective multiplicities of the subrepresentations  $\mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}^{\xi}$  and  $\mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}}$  in  $\bar{G}_F(p)$ :

		$k_1 = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})_G$	$k_2 = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\xi})_G$	$k_3 = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}})_G$
(i)	$\varepsilon = \text{triv}$	$m + 2$	$m$	$m$
(ii)	$\varepsilon = \xi, \varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}$	$m + 1$	$m + 1$	$m + 1$
(iii)	$\varepsilon = \xi, \varepsilon \neq \varepsilon^{-1}$	$m + 1$	$m + 1$	$m$
	$\varepsilon = \xi^{-1}, \varepsilon \neq \varepsilon^{-1}$	$m + 1$	$m$	$m + 1$
(iv)	$\varepsilon \notin \{\text{triv}, \xi, \xi^{-1}\}$	$m + 1$	$m$	$m$

By [2, Lem. 5.3(ii)–(iv)], there exist  $b_n, c_{n'}, d_{n''} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$  (with  $n = (m + 1 - k_2), \dots, m$ ,  $n' = (m + 1 - k_3), \dots, m$  and  $n'' = 0, \dots, k_1 - 1$ ) such that a generator  $x_n$  of a subgroup  $P_n$  gets mapped to either

$$S(b_n, 0), \quad S(0, c_{n'}), \quad S(b_n, c_n), \quad D(d_{n''}) \quad \text{or} \quad D(0)$$

under a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism  $P_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$ . Finally, in [2, Lem. 5.6(A)–(D), (F)] the image of the Demuškin relation involving these matrices is completely described. The thereby obtained equations define the respective relation ideal  $I^\psi$  (as in [2, Theorem 6.2(i)–(iv)]).

In case (B), we have that  $\text{ad}^0 \cong \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}} \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi} \oplus \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}}$  and  $h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_D = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_E < h' := (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\text{triv}})_G + (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\xi})_G + (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^{\xi^{-1}})_G$  due to the further conditions that  $\alpha \in E_{\Pi}(\mathbb{F}[t]/t^2)$  has to satisfy if  $U$  is non-trivial. As in case (A), there exist  $b_n, c_{n'}, d_{n''} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$  (with  $n = (m + 1 - k_2), \dots, m$ ,  $n' = (m + 1 - k_3), \dots, m$  and  $n'' = 0, \dots, k_1 - 1$ ) such that a generator  $x_n$  of a subgroup  $P_n$  gets mapped to either

$$S(\bar{u}_n + b_n, 0), \quad S(0, c_{n'}), \quad S(\bar{u}_n + b_n, c_n), \quad D(d_{n''}) \quad \text{or} \quad D(0)$$

under a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism  $P_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$ . Due to the condition on the image of  $x_{i_0}$ , the variable  $b_{i_0}$  occurring in the image of  $x_{i_0}$  must vanish. In [2, Lem. 5.6(A)–(D), (F)] the image of the Demuškin relation involving these matrices is completely described. By [2, Theorem 6.2(ii)–(iii)], this gives rise to the following generators of  $I^\psi$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^m (\bar{u}_i + b_i) d_{m-i} - (\bar{u}_0 + b_0) g_q((\bar{u}_0 + b_0) c_0) \quad \text{and} \\ & - \sum_{i=0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - c_0 g_q((\bar{u}_0 + b_0) c_0) \quad \text{in case (i)} \end{aligned}$$

and in case (ii) to  $\sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - q c_0$ . In (i), we use the first relation  $d_{m-i_0} = (\bar{u}_0 + b_0) g_q((\bar{u}_0 + b_0) c_0) - \sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m (\bar{u}_i + b_i) d_{m-i}$  to also eliminate  $d_{m-i_0}$ . Then the second equation reads

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{i=0}^m c_i d_{m-i} - c_0 g_q((\bar{u}_0 + b_0) c_0) &= - \sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m (c_i - c_{i_0}(\bar{u}_i + b_i)) d_{m-i} \\ &\quad - (c_0 + c_{i_0}(\bar{u}_0 + b_0)) g_q((\bar{u}_0 + b_0) c_0). \end{aligned}$$

We perform a linear change of coordinates by replacing  $c_i + c_{i_0}(\bar{u}_i + b_i)$  by  $c_i$  for  $i \neq i_0$ . Note that  $\bar{u}_0 = 0$  if  $i_0 > 0$  so that we obtain the respective generators of  $I^\psi$  displayed in case (B).

In case (C), we have that  $\text{ad}^0 \cong \mathbb{F}^\varphi \oplus \text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon$  and  $h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} t_E = (\bar{G}_F(p), \mathbb{F}^\varphi)_G + 2(\bar{G}_F(p), \text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon)_G$ . This means that the multiplicities of the subrepresentations  $\text{Ind}_{C_n}^{\bar{G}} \mathbb{F}^\varepsilon$  in  $\bar{G}_F(p)$  are  $2m$ , and the ones of the subrepresentations  $\mathbb{F}^\varphi$  are  $m + 1$  if  $\varepsilon = \varphi$  and  $m$  if  $\varepsilon \neq \varphi$ . By [2, Lem. 5.3(ii), (v)–(vii)], there exist  $b_n, d_{n'} \in \mathfrak{m}_R$  (with  $n = 1, \dots, 2m$ ,  $n' = 0, \dots, m$  in (i) and  $n' = 1, \dots, m$  in (ii)) such that a generator  $x_n$  of a subgroup  $P_n$  gets mapped to either

$$S(b_n, b_n), \quad S(b_n, -b_n), \quad D(d_{n'}) \quad \text{or} \quad D(0)$$

under a  $G$ -equivariant homomorphism  $P_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R)$ . Finally, in [2, Lem. 5.6(E)–(F)] the image of the Demuškin relation involving these matrices is completely described. The thereby obtained equations define the respective relation ideal  $I^\psi$  (as in [2, Theorem 6.2(v)–(vii)]).  $\square$

We define  $\mathfrak{n}$  to be the ideal in  $\mathcal{R}$  generated by all the variables  $b_i, c_{i'}, d_{i''}$  occurring in the respective definitions of  $\mathcal{R}$  in the previous theorem. Further, define the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_s \subset \mathcal{R}$  as  $\mathfrak{m}_s := q\mathcal{R} + \mathfrak{n}$ . In cases (A)–(C) it is now a simple matter to read off from the previous theorem the initial terms for the graded rings naturally associated to  $\mathcal{R}$ . Checking that these initial terms form a regular sequence will imply most parts of Theorem 1.4 and, when combined with Proposition 2.2, the assertions of Theorem 1.5 in cases (A)–(C).

**Corollary 3.6.** *In the cases (A)–(C) of the previous lemma, denote the two generators of  $I^\psi$  in case (A)(ii) by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , and in the other cases the generator of  $I^\psi$  by  $f_1$ .*

- (a) Let  $\mathbf{in}$  be the initial term map  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . Then the following are the initial terms of the generators of  $I^\psi$  in  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{I}^\psi) \subset \text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{n}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  in the cases (A)–(C) of Lemma 3.2, where we only list those cases in which  $h_2$  is non-zero.
- (A) (i)  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{b}_{m-i+1}$ ;  
(ii)  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = -\sum_{i=0}^m \bar{b}_i \bar{d}_{m-i}$  and  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i}$ ;  
(iii) If  $\varepsilon = \psi$ , then  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{d}_i \bar{b}_{m-i}$ ;  
If  $\varepsilon = \psi^{-1}$ , then  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{d}_i \bar{c}_{m-i}$ ;  
(B) (i)  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = -\sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i} - \frac{1}{1 - \delta_{i_0}} \cdot \frac{q}{3} \cdot \bar{c}_0^2$ ;  
(ii)  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i}$ ;  
(C) (i)  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{f}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{b}_i \bar{b}_{2m-i+1}$ .
- (b) Let  $\mathbf{in}$  be the initial term map  $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}$  and set  $t_0 := \mathbf{in}(q)$ . Then the following are the initial terms of the generators of  $I^\psi$  in  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{I}^\psi) \subset \text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  in the cases (A)–(C) of Lemma 3.2, where we only list those cases in which  $h_2$  is non-zero.

<sup>5</sup> Note that the term involving  $\bar{c}_0^2$  vanishes unless  $q = 3$ .

- (A) (i)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{b}_{m-i+1} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{d}_0$ ;  
(ii)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{b}_i \bar{d}_{m-i} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{b}_0$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_2)} = -\sum_{i=0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{c}_0$ ;  
(iii) If  $\varepsilon = \psi$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{d}_i \bar{b}_{m-i} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{b}_0$ ;  
If  $\varepsilon = \psi^{-1}$ , then  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{d}_i \bar{c}_{m-i} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{c}_0$ ;  
(B) (i)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = -\sum_{i=0, i \neq i_0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i} - \frac{2}{2 - \delta_{i_0}} \cdot \bar{t}_0 \bar{c}_0 - \frac{1}{1 - \delta_{i_0}} \cdot \frac{q}{3} \cdot \bar{c}_0^2$ ;<sup>5</sup>  
(ii)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \bar{c}_i \bar{d}_{m-i} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{c}_0$ ;  
(C) (i)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(f_1)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{b}_i \bar{b}_{2m-i+1} - \bar{t}_0 \bar{d}_0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** First note that we can reduce to the case  $\mathcal{O} = W(\mathbb{F})$  as follows: by [25, §12 Prop.] there is an isomorphism  $R_{\bar{\rho}} \cong R_{\bar{\rho}, W(\mathbb{F})} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} \mathcal{O}$ , where  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$  and  $R_{\bar{\rho}, W(\mathbb{F})}$  are the universal deformation rings of  $\bar{\rho}$  that parametrize all deformations of  $\bar{\rho}$  to coefficient rings in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  and  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ , respectively. If the fixed character  $\psi: G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  takes values in  $W(\mathbb{F})^*$ , then the same argument shows that  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \cong R_{\bar{\rho}, W(\mathbb{F})}^{\psi} \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F})} \mathcal{O}$ , where  $R_{\bar{\rho}, W(\mathbb{F})}^{\psi}$  is the universal deformation ring of  $\bar{\rho}$  that parametrizes all deformations of  $\bar{\rho}$  with fixed determinant  $\psi$  to coefficient rings in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})}$ . If  $\psi: G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  is arbitrary, by Lemma 4.1 below it can be twisted so that its image lies in  $W(\mathbb{F})^*$ .

We next give the proof in cases (A)–(C): In all cases of (A)–(C) with  $h_2 \neq 0$ , Theorem 1.4(a) holds since the initial terms given in Corollary 3.6(b) together with  $\bar{t}_0$  form regular sequences in  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}}$  with  $t_0 := \mathbf{in}(q)$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.2(c) the initial terms from Corollary 3.6(b) generate  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(I^{\psi})}$  in the respective cases and one checks that  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}} / \overline{\mathbf{in}(I^{\psi})}$  is an integral domain. Thus Theorem 1.4(b) follows from Proposition 2.2(d) in cases (A)–(C).

Theorem 1.4 in the remaining cases (D) and (E) is a direct consequence of the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *In the cases (D) and (E) let  $q$  denote the minimum of  $p$  and the number of  $p$ -power roots of unity in  $K$ . Then there exists a minimal presentation*

$$0 \longrightarrow I^{\psi} = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \cong W(\mathbb{F})[[x_1, \dots, x_h]] \longrightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \longrightarrow 0$$

such that, letting  $\mathfrak{m}_s = (q, x_1, \dots, x_h)$ , the following hold:

- (a)  $\mathfrak{m}_s^2 \supset I^{\psi}$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(q)}, \overline{\mathbf{in}(r_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(r_m)} \in \overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  is a regular sequence in  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}}$ ;  
(b)  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s} \mathcal{R}} / (\overline{\mathbf{in}(r_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(r_m)})$  is an integral domain and  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(I^{\psi})} = (\overline{\mathbf{in}(r_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(r_m)})$ ;  
(c)  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_m)} \in \overline{\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  form a regular sequence in  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{R}}$ ;  
(d)  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{R}} / (\overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1)})$  is an integral domain and  $\overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{I}^{\psi})} = (\overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1)}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1)})$ ;  
(e)  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  and  $\dim_{K^{\text{rull}}} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} = h + 1 - m$ .

**Proof.** The proof proceeds along the lines of the proof of [2, Theorem 2.6], but it is simpler in our case as we shall only determine the initial parts of the  $g_i$  and  $r_j$ , and since there is no action of a finite group of order prime to  $p$ . We recall that  $\bar{\rho} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \star \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \eta$  for some character  $\eta: G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ , where  $\star$  denotes an extension. As a preliminary reduction,

we may twist  $\bar{\rho}$  by  $\eta^{-1}$  so that the image of  $\bar{\rho}$  is a  $p$ -group. Twisting all deformations by the Teichmüller lift of  $\eta^{-1}$  provides an isomorphism to the deformation functor of the twist of  $\bar{\rho}$ . In particular both functors are represented by isomorphic versal rings. Since now  $\det \bar{\rho}$  is trivial, we shall also assume that its fixed lift  $\psi$  is the trivial character, since again, changing  $\psi$  has no effect on the versal deformation ring up to isomorphism. After this reduction, the first case to consider is that when  $K$  does not contain a non-trivial  $p$ -power root of unity. Then by Lemma 3.2 we have  $h_2 = 0$ . Hence  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is unobstructed and thus formally smooth, and assertions (a)–(e) are obvious.

Suppose from now on that  $K$  contains a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_p$ . Then the maximal pro- $p$ -quotient  $G_K(p)$  of  $G_K$  is known to be a Demushkin group of rank  $2g = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p] + 2$ , cf. [23, §5]. By the classification of Demushkin groups with  $q > 2$  [23, Theorem 7], the pro- $p$  group  $G_K(p)$  is isomorphic to the pro- $p$  completion  $\Pi$  of the discrete group

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{2g} \mid r \rangle$$

for the Demushkin relation  $r = x_1^q(x_1, x_2)(x_3, x_4) \dots (x_{2g-1}, x_{2g})$  – recall that  $(x, y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . In the following we fix an isomorphism  $G_K(p) \cong \Pi$ .<sup>6</sup> Note also that  $2g \geq 4$ , because  $K$  has to contain  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = p - 1 \geq 2$ . If  $\text{im}(\bar{\rho})$  is non-trivial, the functor  $E_{\Pi} : \widehat{\text{Ar}}_{W(\mathbb{F})} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$  is given by

$$(R, \mathfrak{m}_R) \mapsto \left\{ \alpha \in \text{Hom}(\Pi, \tilde{\Gamma}_2(R)) \mid \alpha(x_{i_0}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}, \right. \\ \left. \bar{\alpha}(x_i) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \bar{u}_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_R} \text{ for all } i \right\},$$

and else by  $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R) \mapsto \text{Hom}(\Pi, \tilde{\Gamma}_2(R))$ . As the elements  $\{g_n\}$  from the bottom of page 95 we take  $x_1, \dots, x_{2g}$ . As noted there,  $E_{\Pi}$  is always representable and its universal ring  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is isomorphic to  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$ .

In order to find an explicit presentation of  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , we define  $\mathcal{S} := W(\mathbb{F})[[b_i, c_i, d_i : i = 1, \dots, 2g]]$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq 2g$  let

$$M_i := \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i + u_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \mathbb{1}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where we choose a lift  $u_i \in W(\mathbb{F})$  of  $\bar{u}_i \in \mathbb{F}$ , subject to the requirement  $u_i = 0$  whenever  $\bar{u}_i = 0$ , and where  $a_i \in \mathcal{S}$  is chosen so that  $\det M_i = 1$ , i.e.,  $a_i = ((b_i + u_i)c_i - d_i) \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n d_i^n$ . Observe that in case (D) all  $u_i = 0$ . We define polynomials  $r_k$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  by

<sup>6</sup> By slight abuse of notation we shall therefore regard the topological generators  $x_i$  of  $\Pi$  as elements of  $G_K$ .

$$\mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ r_3 & r_4 \end{pmatrix} := M_1^q[M_1, M_2] \dots [M_{2g-1}, M_{2g}],$$

where  $[M_i, M_{i'}]$  is the commutator bracket  $M_i^{-1}M_{i'}^{-1}M_iM_{i'}$ . Note that  $(1+r_1)(1+r_4) - r_2r_3 = 1$  and that, as we shall explain in a moment,  $(r_1, \dots, r_4) \subset \mathfrak{m}_s = (q, b_i, c_i, d_i : i = 1, \dots, 2g)$ . It is now straightforward to see that the ring

$$R_{\bar{\alpha}} := \begin{cases} W(\mathbb{F})[[b_i, c_i, d_i : i = 1, \dots, 2g]]/(r_1, r_2, r_3) & \text{in case (D)} \\ W(\mathbb{F})[[b_i, c_i, d_i : i = 1, \dots, 2g]]/(r_1, r_2, r_3, b_{i_0}, d_{i_0} - c_{i_0}) & \text{in case (E)} \end{cases}$$

together with the homomorphism  $\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}} : \Pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(R_{\bar{\alpha}})$  defined by mapping  $x_i$  to  $M_i$  – the latter regarded as a matrix over  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$  – is a universal object for  $E_{\Pi}$ . Note that  $\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}$  is well-defined precisely because we imposed the condition that all  $r_k$  vanish. In case (E) we may and shall assume that  $i_0 \leq 4$  by permuting the **indices** of the  $x_i$  in pairs  $(2i' - 1, 2i')$  for  $i' \in \{2, \dots, g\}$ .

For  $k = 2, 3$  and  $j = 0, 1$  we define

$$G^{k,k-j}(\mathcal{S}) := \left\{ \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{S}) : c \in \mathfrak{m}_s^k, a, b, d \in \mathfrak{m}_s^{k-j} \right\}.$$

We set  $\delta_{q=3} = 1$  if  $q = 3$  and  $\delta_{q=3} = 0$  if  $q \neq 3$ . One can easily check the following facts, where starting from (2) we let  $j = 0$  in case (D) and  $j = 1$  in case (E):

- (1) the sets  $G^{k,k-j}(\mathcal{S})$  defined above are subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{S})$ , and moreover  $G^{3,3-j}(\mathcal{S})$  is a normal subgroup of  $G^{2,2-j}(\mathcal{S})$  for  $j \in \{0, 1\}$ ;
- (2) the matrices  $M_1^q$  and  $[M_{2i-1}, M_{2i}]$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, g$ , lie in  $G^{2,2-j}(\mathcal{S})$ ;
- (3) in case (D), computing modulo  $G^{3,3}(\mathcal{S})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, g$  one has  $M_1 \equiv \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} -qd_1 & qb_1 \\ qc_1 & qd_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$[M_{2i-1}, M_{2i}] \equiv \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} b_{2i-1}c_{2i} - b_{2i}c_{2i-1} & 2b_{2i-1}d_{2i} - 2b_{2i}d_{2i-1} \\ -2c_{2i-1}d_{2i} + 2c_{2i}d_{2i-1} & -b_{2i-1}c_{2i} + b_{2i}c_{2i-1} \end{pmatrix};$$

- (4) in case (E), computing modulo  $G^{3,2}(\mathcal{S})$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, g$  one has

$$M_1^q \equiv \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ c_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot (q + \delta_{q=3}c_1), & \text{if } i_0 = 1, \\ \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ qc_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } i_0 > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$[M_{2i-1}, M_{2i}] \equiv \mathbb{1}_2 +$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{2i-1}c_{2i}-u_{2i}c_{2i-1} & u_{2i-1}^2c_{2i}-u_{2i}^2c_{2i-1}+2u_{2i-1}d_{2i}-2u_{2i}d_{2i-1} \\ u_{2i}c_{2i-1}^2-2(u_{2i-1}-u_{2i})c_{2i-1}c_{2i}-u_{2i-1}c_{2i}^2-2c_{2i-1}d_{2i}+2c_{2i}d_{2i-1} & -u_{2i-1}c_{2i}+u_{2i}c_{2i-1} \end{pmatrix};$$

(5) for  $M, M' \in G^{2,2-j}(\mathcal{S})$  one has  $MM' \equiv M + M' - \mathbb{1}_2 \pmod{G^{3,3-j}(\mathcal{S})}$ .

Using these facts we can explicitly compute the initial terms of the relations  $r_i$  since for  $j = 0, 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1}_2 + \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & r_2 \\ r_3 & r_4 \end{pmatrix} &= M_1^q \cdot \prod_{i=1}^g [M_{2i-1}, M_{2i}] \\ &\equiv M_1^q + \sum_{i=1}^g ([M_{2i-1}, M_{2i}] - \mathbb{1}_2) \pmod{G^{3,3-j}(\mathcal{S})}. \end{aligned}$$

In case (D) we have  $r_1, r_2, r_3 \in \mathfrak{m}_s^2$  from (3) and (5), and in case (E) we deduce  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_s$  and  $r_3 \in \mathfrak{m}_s^2$  from (4) and (5). Below we make the initial terms of the  $r_k$  more explicit. To then analyze properties of  $R_{\bar{\alpha}}$ , we shall need the following results from commutative algebra, which are simple exercises:

- ( $\alpha$ ) if  $R$  is a ring and  $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in R$ , then using total degrees  $w = xy - a_1x - a_2y + a_3$  is a non-zero divisor in the polynomial ring  $R[x, y]$  over  $R$ ; if moreover  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a_3 \neq a_1a_2$ , then  $R[x, y]/(w)$  is an integral domain, as can be seen by performing a linear coordinate change with  $x$  and  $y$ , and then passing to  $\text{Frac}(R)[x, y]/(w)$ .
- ( $\beta$ ) if  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded Noetherian ring and if  $f_1, \dots, f_\omega \in R$  are homogeneous of positive degree, then they form a regular sequence if they do so in any order (see [26, Remark after Thm. 16.3]);
- ( $\gamma$ ) if  $R$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_\omega$  are as in ( $\beta$ ), if the  $f_i$  form a regular sequence and if  $R[\frac{1}{f_{\omega'+1} \cdots f_\omega}]/(f_1, \dots, f_{\omega'})$  is an integral domain for any  $1 \leq \omega' \leq \omega$ , then  $R/(f_1, \dots, f_\omega)$  is an integral domain, as well.

We first show assertions (a)–(e) in case (D). Here we take  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}$ . Because  $\mathfrak{m}_s^2$  contains  $(r_1, r_2, r_3)$ , the presentation  $0 \rightarrow (r_1, r_2, r_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow R_\alpha^\psi \rightarrow 0$  is minimal. We shall consider the canonical reduction map  $\pi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}/(b_i, c_i, d_i, i = 5, \dots, 2g)$ , and we let  $\mathfrak{m}'_s = \pi(\mathfrak{m}_s)$  and  $r'_k = \pi(r_k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . The ring  $\mathcal{R}'$  is a power series ring over  $W(\mathbb{F})$  in 12 variables. Thus  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}'}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}'$  and  $\text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}'_s} \bar{\mathcal{R}}'/(\bar{t}_0)$ , for  $t_0 := \mathbf{in}(q)$ , are polynomial rings over  $\mathbb{F}$  in 12 variables. The elements  $\mathbf{in}^2(\bar{r}'_k) \equiv \mathbf{in}^2(r'_k) \pmod{\bar{t}_0}$  are homogeneous elements of degree 2 for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , which by (3) are given by the expressions

$$\bar{b}_1\bar{c}_2 - \bar{b}_2\bar{c}_1 + \bar{b}_3\bar{c}_4 - \bar{b}_4\bar{c}_3, \quad \bar{b}_1\bar{d}_2 - \bar{b}_2\bar{d}_1 + \bar{b}_3\bar{d}_4 - \bar{b}_4\bar{d}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{c}_1\bar{d}_2 - \bar{c}_2\bar{d}_1 + \bar{c}_3\bar{d}_4 - \bar{c}_4\bar{d}_3.$$

Using  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$ , one easily deduces that  $\bar{c}_1, \bar{d}_2, \bar{b}_3, \bar{b}_4$  together with the three displayed relations above form a regular sequence in any order in  $R = \mathbb{F}[\bar{b}_k, \bar{c}_k, \bar{d}_k : k = 1, \dots, 4]$ . To complete the argument, we wish to apply  $(\gamma)$ . If we invert  $\bar{b}_4$  in  $R$ , then forming the quotient of  $R$  by the first two relations is equivalent to eliminating  $\bar{c}_3, \bar{d}_3$  in  $R$ . This will change  $\mathbf{in}^2(r'_3) \pmod{\bar{t}_0}$  to

$$\begin{aligned} w &:= c_1 d_2 - \frac{b_2}{b_4} d_4 c_1 - \frac{b_1}{b_4} c_4 d_2 - c_2 d_1 + \frac{b_2}{b_4} c_4 d_1 + \frac{b_1}{b_4} c_2 d_4 \\ &\in R' := \mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_2, c_4, d_1, d_4, \frac{1}{b_4}][c_1, d_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(b_2 d_4)(b_1 c_4) \neq b_4^2(-c_2 d_1 + \frac{b_2}{b_4} c_4 d_1 + \frac{b_1}{b_4} c_2 d_4)$  in the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{F}[b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, c_2, c_4, d_1, d_4]$ , the ring  $R'/(w)$  is an integral domain by  $(\alpha)$ . Therefore by  $(\gamma)$  the ring  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathbf{m}'_s} \mathcal{R}'}/(\bar{t}_0, \mathbf{in}(r'_1), \mathbf{in}(r'_2), \mathbf{in}(r'_3))$  is an integral domain, as well. This implies that  $\bar{t}_0, \mathbf{in}(r_1), \mathbf{in}(r_2), \mathbf{in}(r_3), \bar{b}_5, \bar{c}_5, \bar{d}_5, \dots, \bar{b}_{2g}, \bar{c}_{2g}, \bar{d}_{2g}$  is a regular sequence in  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathbf{m}_s} \mathcal{R}}$  and that the corresponding quotient ring is an integral domain. Invoking Lemma 2.1(b) for the domain property, this completes the proof of (a) and (b) in case (D). The proof of (c) and (d) is analogous since the elements  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}'_k)$  and  $\mathbf{in}(r'_k) \pmod{\bar{t}_0}$  are formally given by the same expressions for  $k = 1, 2, 3$ . Part (e) follows from Lemma 3.2.

We now turn to case (E). Recall that here we have  $u_{i_0} = 1$  by definition of  $E_{\Pi}$ . Let  $i_1 \neq i_0$  denote the index in  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $\{i_0, i_1\}$  is either  $\{1, 2\}$  or  $\{3, 4\}$ . Using (4) above, one finds that the coefficients of  $c_{i_1}$  in  $r_1$  and of  $d_{i_1}$  in  $r_2$  are in  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2\} \subset W(\mathbb{F})^*$ . In particular  $\mathbf{in}^1(\bar{r}_k)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , and  $\mathbf{in}^1(r'_k) \pmod{\bar{t}_0}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are  $\mathbb{F}$ -linearly independent elements in  $\overline{\mathbf{m}_{\mathcal{R}'}}/\overline{\mathbf{m}_{\mathcal{R}'}}^2$  and  $\overline{\mathbf{m}'_s}/(\overline{\mathbf{m}'_s})^2 \pmod{\bar{t}_0}$ , respectively. We define  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S}/(r_1, r_2, b_{i_0}, d_{i_0} - c_{i_0})$ . Using  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  as replacement rules to eliminate the variables  $c_{i_1}$  and  $d_{i_1}$ , we find that the homomorphism

$$W(\mathbb{F})[[c_{i_0}, b_{i_1}, b_k, c_k, d_k : k \in \{1, \dots, 2g\} \setminus \{i_0, i_1\}]] \rightarrow \mathcal{R},$$

which sends each formal variable to the same named variable in  $\mathcal{R}$ , is an isomorphism. By  $\tilde{r}_3$  we denote the image of  $r_3$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . It is clear from (2) that  $\tilde{r}_3$  lies in  $\mathbf{m}_s^2$ , where now  $\mathbf{m}_s$  is the image of  $(q, b_i, c_i, d_i, i = 1, \dots, 2g)$  in  $\mathcal{R}$ . In particular,  $0 \rightarrow (\tilde{r}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow R_\alpha^\psi \rightarrow 0$  is a minimal presentation.

As in the analysis of (D), we consider the reduction map  $\pi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}/(b_i, c_i, d_i, i = 5, \dots, 2g)$ , we define  $\mathbf{m}'_s = \pi(\mathbf{m}_s)$  and  $r'_3 = \pi(\tilde{r}_3)$ . The ring  $\mathcal{R}'$  is now a power series ring over  $W(\mathbb{F})$  in 8 variables. A short computation shows

$$w := \mathbf{in}^2(\bar{r}'_3) \equiv \overline{\mathbf{in}^2(r'_3)} \pmod{\bar{t}_0} \equiv \begin{cases} 2\bar{d}_3\bar{c}_4 - 2\bar{d}_4\bar{c}_3 + \text{other terms}, & \text{if } i_0 \in \{1, 2\}, \\ 2\bar{d}_1\bar{c}_2 - 2\bar{d}_2\bar{c}_1, & \text{if } i_0 \in \{3, 4\}. \end{cases}$$

From  $w \neq 0$  we deduce (a) and (c). The proof of (e) follows from Lemma 3.2. Arguing as for (D), to prove (b) and (d) it suffices to show that  $w$  is a non-zero divisor in



$$\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}'}} \overline{\mathcal{R}'} \cong \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}'_s} \mathcal{R}' / (\bar{t}_0)} \cong \mathbb{F}[[c_{i_0}, b_{i_1}, b_k, c_k, d_k : k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \{i_0, i_1\}]]].$$

We need to show that  $w$  is irreducible, i.e., not a product of two linear terms. For this one may consider  $w$  as a bilinear form. If  $w$  was reducible, the representing Gram matrix would have rank at most 2. However, the displayed coefficients of  $w$  imply that this rank is at least 4.  $\square$

**Remark 3.8.**

- (a) In Section 2, we showed Theorem 1.5 by combining Theorem 1.4 with Proposition 2.2. Alternatively, in cases (D) and (E) Theorem 1.5 follows easily from Lemma 3.7(c), (d) combined with Proposition 2.2(a), (b).
- (b) In cases (D) and (E), Theorem 1.4 can also be deduced from [2, §8]. However, we felt that the arguments there are somewhat sketchy. To make them more precise, we would have needed to introduce much notation. Since the above proof follows nicely from the ideas of Section 2, we chose this path.

#### 4. Crystalline points in components of versal deformation spaces

Let  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  be the versal deformation space of a fixed residual representation  $\bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$ . The Zariski density of benign crystalline points in  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  for  $n = 2$  is an important consequence of the integrality results of the previous sections. The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.9 on irreducible components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ , and Theorem 1.12 on the Zariski density of crystalline points by showing that any component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  contains a crystalline point.

We fix a character  $\psi : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  that reduces to  $\det \bar{\rho}$ . As is well-known, e.g. [1, Prop. 2.1] for results of this type, one has the following result:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $p$  does not divide  $n$  and  $\psi' : G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  is a second lift of  $\det \bar{\rho}$ . Then*

- (a)  $D_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \times D_{\det \bar{\rho}}, [\rho] \mapsto ([\rho \otimes (\psi \det \rho^{-1})^{1/n}], \det \rho)$  is an isomorphism of functors with inverse  $([\rho'], \varphi') \mapsto [\rho' \otimes (\varphi' \psi^{-1})^{1/n}]$ . In particular one has a natural isomorphism  $R_{\bar{\rho}} \cong R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} R_{\det \bar{\rho}}$ .
- (b)  $D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi'}, [\rho] \mapsto [\rho \otimes \sqrt{\psi^{-1} \psi'}]$  is an isomorphism of functors so that  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  and  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi'}$  are isomorphic.

Lemma 4.1 shows that it suffices to prove Theorem 1.5 for any fixed choice of lift  $\psi$ , for instance for the Teichmüller lift of  $\det \rho$ . Furthermore, together with Theorem 1.5, it implies Theorem 1.9:

**Proof of Theorem 1.9.** By Theorem 1.5 and part (a) of the previous lemma, the map  $\mathrm{Det} : \mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$  of Theorem 1.9 induces a bijection of irreducible components.

Moreover the irreducible components of both spaces will be connected components if this holds for  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$ . To prove this and the remaining assertion of [Theorem 1.9](#), it will suffice to describe  $R_{\det \bar{\rho}}$  explicitly. This however has been carried out in [\[24, §1.4\]](#): Denote by  $\Pi$  the abelianized pro- $p$  completion of  $G_K$ , which by class field theory is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, +) \times (1 + \mathfrak{m}_K, \cdot)$ . Then  $R_{\bar{\eta}} \cong \mathcal{O}[[\Pi]] \cong \mathcal{O}[[T_0, \dots, T_{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}]][X]/((1+X)^q - 1)$  for any character  $\bar{\eta}: G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^*$ , where  $q = \#\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$ . The remaining assertions are now immediate.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.12.** By [\[27, Thm. 0.0.4\]](#), we may choose a crystalline  $p$ -adic Galois representation  $\rho_0: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  which is a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$ , i.e., so that  $[\rho_0] \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ . By the construction in [\[27\]](#), we can assume  $\rho_0$  to be regular. We want to show that any component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  contains a regular crystalline point so that the hypothesis of [Theorem 1.11](#) holds. Denote by  $\psi$  the determinant of  $\rho_0$ , so that  $\psi$  is crystalline, and by  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})^\psi$  the rigid analytic space that is the generic fiber of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$  in the sense of Berthelot. By [Lemma 4.1](#), we have the isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})^\psi \times \mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho}), ([\rho'], \varphi') \mapsto [\rho' \otimes (\varphi' \psi^{-1})^{1/2}].$$

By the following lemma, we have a crystalline point  $\varphi'_i$  in any component  $i$  of  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$ . Now the components form a torsor over  $\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$ , which is a finite cyclic group of  $p$ -power order. Because 2 is prime to  $p$ , the characters  $(\varphi'_i)^2$  still exhaust all components of  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$ , and the same holds for the translates  $\psi(\varphi'_i)^2$ . Now under the above map we have  $([\rho_0], \psi(\varphi'_i)^2) \mapsto [\rho_0 \otimes \varphi'_i]$ , and by [Theorem 1.9](#) we see that the latter representations give a regular crystalline lift in any component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ . Applying [Theorem 1.11](#) completes the proof of [Theorem 1.12](#).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Any component of  $\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})$  contains a crystalline point.*

**Proof.** By twisting by  $\psi^{-1}$  it will suffice to prove the lemma for the trivial character 1 in place of  $\det \bar{\rho}$ . The crystalline points in  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$  correspond to characters  $G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$  with trivial reduction 1. We shall use the classification of one-dimensional crystalline representations to describe the crystalline points. Let  $r_K: \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathcal{O}_K^* \xrightarrow{\sim} G_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$  be the local Artin map. Consider the induced projection  $\mathrm{pr}_2: G_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^*$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}_K$  be the set of embeddings  $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . Then for any  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{P}_K$  one defines a character  $\chi_{\tau_0}$  as the composite

$$\chi_{\tau_0}: G_K \longrightarrow G_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} \mathcal{O}_K^* \xrightarrow{\tau_0} \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*.$$

One has the following assertions, cf. [\[12, App. B\]](#):

- (a) The character  $\chi_{\tau_0}$  is crystalline with labeled Hodge–Tate weights  $(a_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_K}$  where  $a_{\tau_0} = 1$  and  $a_\tau = 0$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_K \setminus \{\tau_0\}$ .<sup>7</sup>

<sup>7</sup> For the definition of labeled Hodge–Tate weights, see [\[14, Def. 3.2\]](#).

- (b) Any crystalline character of  $G_K$  is of the form  $\nu \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_K} \chi_\tau^{\ell_\tau}$  for integers  $\ell_\tau$  and an unramified character  $\nu$ . The tuple  $(\ell_\tau)_{\tau \in \mathcal{P}_K}$  is its labeled Hodge–Tate weight.

As discussed in the proof of [Theorem 1.9](#),  $R_1 \cong \mathcal{O}[[\Pi]] \cong \mathcal{O}[[T_0, \dots, T_{[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]}]][X]/((1+X)^q - 1)$  so that  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$  has  $q = \#\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$  connected components. In order to find a crystalline point in any component of  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$ , we introduce a labeling of its connected components by  $\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$ : Any point in  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$  corresponds to a character  $G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$  with trivial mod  $p$  reduction, which factors via the abelianized pro- $p$  completion  $\Pi$  of  $G_K$ , i.e., it induces a character  $\eta: \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$ . Via the isomorphism  $r_{K,p}: \mathbb{Z}_p \times (1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi$  induced from  $r_K$  by pro- $p$  completion, the torsion subgroup  $\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$  of  $(1 + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_K})$  is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of  $\Pi$  so that we can define the label of  $\eta$  to be  $\eta \circ r_{K,p}|_{\mu_{p^\infty}(K)}(\zeta) \in \mu_{p^\infty}(K)$  for a chosen generator  $\zeta$  of  $\mu_{p^\infty}(K)$ . Equivalently, one can say that the component of  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$  that contains  $\eta$  is determined by the restriction  $\eta \circ r_{K,p}|_{\mu_{p^\infty}(K)}$ .

Now we use the above labeling of components to find a crystalline character in each component. Recall that  $f = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ , and denote by  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{P}_K$  our usually chosen embedding  $K \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ . By (b) above, for any  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  the character  $\chi_{\tau_0}^{\ell(q^f-1)}: G_K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$  is crystalline. Because of the factor  $q^f - 1$  in the exponent, its image is a pro- $p$  group, and it is straightforward to see that for the induced character  $\eta: \Pi \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^*$  we have  $\eta \circ r_{K,p}|_{1+\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_K}} = \tau_0^{\ell(q^f-1)}|_{1+\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_K}}$ . Hence  $\eta \circ r_{K,p}|_{\mu_{p^\infty}(K)}$  is equal to the homomorphism

$$\mu_{p^\infty}(K) \longrightarrow \mu_{p^\infty}(K), \quad \alpha \longmapsto \alpha^{\ell(q^f-1)} = \alpha^{-\ell}.$$

By choosing  $\ell$  suitably, it is clear that  $\eta$  can be made to lie in any connected component of  $\mathfrak{X}(1)$ .  $\square$

For the following result, we assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of determinants as introduced in [\[9\]](#). Following [\[33\]](#) we shall call them pseudo-representations. Let  $R$  be in  $\text{Ar}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . To any representation  $\rho: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R)$  one can attach a pseudo-representation of degree  $n$ , i.e., a multiplicative  $R$ -polynomial law  $\tau = \tau_\rho: R[G_K] \rightarrow R$  homogeneous of degree  $n$ . To describe the latter, denote for any  $R$ -module  $M$  by  $\underline{M}$  the functor from  $R$ -algebras  $A$  to sets that assigns to  $A$  the set  $M \otimes_R A$ . Then  $\tau$  is the natural transformation  $R[G_K] \rightarrow R$  that on any  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is given by  $\tau_A: A[G_K] \rightarrow A$ ,  $\sum r_i g_i \mapsto \det(\sum r_i \rho(g_i))$ . In particular, any residual representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  has an associated pseudo-representation  $\bar{\tau}$ . By [\[9\]](#), if  $\tau$  arises from a representation  $\rho$  over  $R$ , then the characteristic polynomial  $\chi_\rho(g)$  of  $\rho$  is equal to  $\chi_\tau(g, T) := \tau_{R[T]}(T - g) \in R[T]$  for any  $g \in G_K$ . The determinant of  $\tau$  is defined as the representation  $\det \tau := \tau_R = (-1)^n \chi_\tau(\_, 0): G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_1(R)$ .

In [\[9, §3.1\]](#), Chenevier defines a deformation functor  $D_\tau$  for a residual pseudo-representations  $\bar{\tau}: \mathbb{F}[G_K] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . By [\[9, Prop. 3.3 and Ex. 3.7\]](#), the functor  $D_{\bar{\tau}}$  is rep-

resentable by a ring  $R_{\bar{\tau}}$  in  $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . By  $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau})$  we denote the generic fiber of  $\mathrm{Spf} R_{\bar{\tau}}$  in the sense of Berthelot, see [13, §7]. If  $\bar{\tau}$  is associated to  $\bar{\rho}$  then there are natural functors

$$\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho}) \xrightarrow{\pi_1} \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau}) \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho}), \quad (2)$$

where  $\pi_1$  is defined by mapping a deformation to the associated pseudo-representation, and  $\pi_2$  by mapping a pseudo-representation to its determinant. Note that the composition  $\pi_2 \circ \pi_1$  is given by the usual determinant of representations.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose  $\bar{\rho}$  is a semisimple 2-dimensional residual representation of  $G_K$  and  $p > 2$ .*

(a) *The morphisms of connected components*

$$\pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})) \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\pi_1)} \pi_0(\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau})) \xrightarrow{\pi_0(\pi_2)} \pi_0(\mathfrak{X}(\det \bar{\rho})),$$

*induced from (2) are bijective.*

(b) *The benign crystalline points are Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\rho})$ .*

**Proof.** To prove (a) observe that by Theorem 1.9, the composite  $\pi_0(\pi_2) \circ \pi_0(\pi_1)$  is a bijection. Moreover the map  $\pi_0(\pi_1)$  is surjective: For this it suffices to show that any pseudo-representation  $\tau$  over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , i.e. any closed point in  $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau})$ , arises from a representation  $\rho$ , i.e. a closed point in  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ . By [9, Thm. 2.12], it is known that  $\tau$  is the pseudo-representation for a semisimple representation  $G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p})$ . The latter can be realized over a finite extension  $E$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and then, in turn by a representation  $\rho': G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_E)$  for  $\mathcal{O}_E$  the valuation ring of  $E$ . Moreover, by possibly enlarging  $E$  and choosing a suitable lattice, one can also assume that the reduction  $\bar{\rho}'$  of  $\rho'$  modulo  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  is semisimple. Now on the one hand, we have  $\chi_{\bar{\tau}} = \chi_{\bar{\rho}}$ . On the other hand  $\pi_1(\rho') = \tau$  yields  $\chi_{\tau} = \chi_{\rho'}$ , and reducing mod  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  we deduce  $\chi_{\bar{\rho}'} = \chi_{\bar{\tau}} = \chi_{\bar{\rho}}$ . By the semisimplicity of  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\bar{\rho}'$ , the theorem of Brauer–Nesbitt now implies  $\bar{\rho} \cong \bar{\rho}'$ . But then  $\rho'$  represents an element of  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$  that maps to  $\tau$ , completing the proof of (a).

To prove (b), observe that, by what we just proved, the map  $\pi_1$  is surjective on (closed) points. Moreover for rigid spaces all Zariski closed subsets are the Zariski closures of their closed points. But then the image under  $\pi_1$  of a Zariski dense subset is Zariski dense. It follows from Theorem 1.12 that the set of benign crystalline points in  $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau})$ , which is the image of the set of benign crystalline points in  $\mathfrak{X}(\bar{\rho})$ , is Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{ps}}(\bar{\tau})$ .  $\square$

## 5. The cup product and quadratic obstructions

In the remainder of the article, we consider a residual representation  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrary. Let  $0 \rightarrow I^{\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow 0$  be a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  as in (1) of Proposition 1.3. In this section, we show that the bracket cup

product  $b: \text{Sym}^2(H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  determines the quadratic part of the relation ideal  $\bar{I}^\psi$  in the sense of Definition 2.4.

As recalled in Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, Mazur attaches to any small extension  $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow 0$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  and deformation  $\rho_0: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_0)$  with determinant  $\psi$  an obstruction class  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \otimes J$  for lifting  $\rho_0$  to a deformation to  $R_1$ . First one chooses a continuous set-theoretic lift  $\rho_1: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_1)$  of  $\rho_0$  which still satisfies  $\det \circ \rho_1 = \psi$ .<sup>8</sup> Then  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes J)$  is given by the 2-cocycle

$$(g, h) \mapsto \rho_1(gh)\rho_1(h)^{-1}\rho_1(g)^{-1} - 1. \quad (3)$$

Similarly,  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)$  can be described by the obstruction homomorphism  $\text{obs}: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(J, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . The latter is defined as follows: For any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(J, \mathbb{F})$ , form the pushout on the left of the given small extension and denote the result by  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \rightarrow R_f \rightarrow R_0 \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\rho_f: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_f)$  is a continuous set-theoretic lift of  $\rho_0$  satisfying  $\det \circ \rho_f = \psi$ , then we set  $\text{obs}(f) := (\mathcal{O}(\rho_0), f) := (\text{id} \otimes f)(\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ , i.e.,  $\text{obs}(f)$  is given by the 2-cocycle  $(g, h) \mapsto \rho_f(gh)\rho_f(h)^{-1}\rho_f(g)^{-1} - 1$ .

The following lemma shows that the obstruction class is independent of a chosen small extension. Its simple proof is left as an exercise.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Consider a morphism of small extensions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J & \longrightarrow & R_1 & \longrightarrow & R_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J' & \longrightarrow & R'_1 & \longrightarrow & R'_0 \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

i.e., a commuting diagram with both rows a small extension and the right hand square in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}(\rho_0) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes J)$  be the obstruction of a deformation  $\rho_0: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(R_0)$  of  $\bar{\rho}$ . Then

$$(\text{id} \otimes \pi)(\mathcal{O}(\rho_0)) = \mathcal{O}(\pi_0 \circ \rho_0) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes J') \cong H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \otimes J'.$$

Recall that  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  means that we pass to rings mod  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}$ , and minimality of the presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$  implies that  $\pi$  induces an isomorphism  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \cong \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi/(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2$ . In particular,  $\bar{I}^\psi \subset \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2$ . In this section, we consider the filtration  $\{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^i\}_{i \geq 0}$  on  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ , and let  $\mathbf{in}$  denote the initial term map  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \text{gr}_{\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}} \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . The following basic result relates the bracket cup product and the quadratic part of  $I^\psi$ :

<sup>8</sup> Such a map always exists: For instance choose a continuous set-theoretic splitting  $R_0 \rightarrow R_1$  of the given homomorphism  $R_1 \rightarrow R_0$ . Observe that since the  $R_i$  are local, it induces a continuous set-theoretic splitting of  $\text{GL}_n(R_1) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R_0)$ . Finally, fix the determinant similar to Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 5.2.** *We assume  $p > 2$ . Then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0), \mathbb{F}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{obs}^\vee} & \bar{I}^\psi / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi & \longrightarrow & (\bar{I}^\psi + \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3) / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 \\
 \downarrow -\frac{1}{2}b^\vee & & & & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0), \mathbb{F})) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Sym}^2(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3,
 \end{array}$$

where  $b^\vee$  is induced by the dual of the bracket cup product, and  $\mathrm{obs}^\vee$  is dual to the obstruction homomorphism. In particular, the quadratic part  $\mathbf{in}^2(\bar{I}^\psi)$  of  $\bar{I}^\psi$  in  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3$  agrees with the image of  $b^\vee$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{J} := (\bar{I}^\psi + \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3) / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3$ . We prove that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{Sym}^2(H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F})) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3, \mathbb{F}) \\
 \downarrow -\frac{1}{2}b & & & & \downarrow \\
 H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & \xleftarrow[\mathrm{obs}]{} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{I}^\psi / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi, \mathbb{F}) & \xleftarrow{} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{J}, \mathbb{F}).
 \end{array}$$

The first isomorphism in the upper row is the canonical isomorphism from [Proposition 1.2\(a\)](#). We shall show that the image of any  $c_1 \in H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  in  $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  is independent of whether we apply  $-\frac{1}{2}b$  or the clockwise composite morphism that passes via  $\mathrm{obs}$ . Since both maps are  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear and elements of the form  $c_1^2$  generate  $\mathrm{Sym}^2(H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0))$  as an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space, this will prove commutativity. Before we embark on the lengthy computation of the composite morphism, we observe that the bracket cup product of  $c_1$  with itself is represented by the explicit 2-cocycle  $(g, h) \mapsto [c_1(g), \mathrm{Ad} \bar{\rho}(g)c_1(h)]$ , see [\[32, §2\]](#) – we write  $\mathrm{Ad} \bar{\rho}$  for the adjoint action of  $G_K$  on  $\mathrm{ad}^0$  to have clear notation.

We now compute the clockwise composite morphism that passes via  $\mathrm{obs}$ . First we extend  $c_1$  to a basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_h\}$  of  $H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ . Via the isomorphisms  $H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F})$ , we obtain a basis of  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F})$ , which by slight abuse of notation, we also denote  $\{c_1, \dots, c_h\}$ . For the corresponding dual basis of  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2$  we write  $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_h\}$  so that  $c_i(\bar{x}_j)$  is the Kronecker symbol  $\delta_{ij}$ . We lift the latter elements to a system of parameters  $\{x_1, \dots, x_h\}$  of  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}$ ; this defines an isomorphism  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \cong \mathbb{F}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$ . With this notation, the image of  $c_1^2$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3, \mathbb{F})$  is characterized by  $c_1^2(\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j) = 0$  if one of  $i, j$  is at least 2 and  $c_1^2(\bar{x}_1^2) = 1$ . The image of  $c_1^2$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{J}, \mathbb{F})$  is the restriction  $c_1^2|_{\bar{J}}$  to the subspace  $\bar{J} \subset \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3$ . Finally, the composition of the canonical homomorphism  $\bar{I}^\psi / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi \rightarrow \bar{J}$  and  $c_1^2|_{\bar{J}}$  defines an element  $f$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{I}^\psi / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi, \mathbb{F})$ . To evaluate  $\mathrm{obs}(f) = (\mathcal{O}(\rho_{\bar{\rho}}), f)$ , we consider the following diagram which displays three morphisms of small extensions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{I}^\psi / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} \bar{I}^\psi & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{I}^\psi \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{J} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / (\bar{I}^\psi + \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow c_1^2 & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \ker(c_1^2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

where the last row is obtained by pushout along  $c_1^2$  and where we denote by  $\ker(c_1^2)$  the ideal of  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  that is the preimage under  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3$  of the kernel of  $c_1^2: \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ . Note that since  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \cong \bar{R}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi / (\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2$ , the right column is the morphism defining the deformation  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \pmod{(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2}$  to  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2$ .

By Lemma 5.1, we can use the last row to compute  $\text{obs}(f)$ . For this, we need a suitable set-theoretic lift of  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \pmod{(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2}$  to  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \ker(c_1^2)$ . We begin with a cohomological description of  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \pmod{(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2}$ : using vector space duality, the canonical isomorphism  $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F})$  can be described equivalently by the 1-cocycle  $\sum_{i=1}^h c_i \otimes \bar{x}_i$  in  $Z^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2)$ . Therefore,  $\rho_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \pmod{(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi)^2}$  is given by the formula

$$g \mapsto \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^h c_i(g) \otimes \bar{x}_i\right) \bar{\rho}(g).$$

We want to obtain a formula for a set-theoretic lift to  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \ker(c_1^2)$ . It will be convenient to use the exponential map  $\exp_2(x) = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2$  to level 2, which is well-defined as the rings  $(R, \mathfrak{m}_R)$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$  have characteristics different from 2. Moreover,  $\exp_2$  can be applied to matrices  $A \in M_n(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ . If in addition  $\mathfrak{m}_R^3 = 0$ , then one can also verify that  $\det(\exp_2(A)) = \exp_2(\text{Tr}(A))$ . In particular,  $\exp_2(A)$  has determinant equal to 1 if  $A$  is of trace zero. Now we take as our set-theoretic lift

$$\rho'_0: G_K \longrightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \ker(c_1^2)), \quad g \mapsto \exp_2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^h c_i(g) \otimes \bar{x}_i \right) \bar{\rho}(g) \pmod{\ker(c_1^2)}.$$

By the remark above on  $\exp_2$ , we have  $\det(\rho'_0(g)) = \det(\bar{\rho}(g)) = \psi(g) \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{O}}}$  for all  $g \in G_K$ . In  $\bar{\mathcal{R}} / \ker(c_1^2)$ , we have  $x_i x_j = 0$  whenever  $i > 1$  or  $j > 1$ . Hence, the expressions  $\exp_2(c_i(g) \otimes \bar{x}_i)$  commute for all  $i$  and we have  $\exp_2(\sum_{i=1}^h c_i(g) \otimes \bar{x}_i) = \prod_{i=1}^h \exp_2(c_i(g) \otimes \bar{x}_i)$ . Using these properties, the class  $\text{obs}(f)$  is represented by the 2-cocycle

$$(g, h) \mapsto \rho'_0(gh) \rho'_0(h)^{-1} \rho'_0(g)^{-1} - 1 = \rho'_1(gh) \rho'_1(h)^{-1} \rho'_1(g)^{-1} - 1,$$

where  $\rho'_1$  is the lift  $G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathcal{R}}/\ker(c_1^2))$ ,  $g \mapsto \exp_2(c_1(g) \otimes x_1)\bar{\rho}(g) \pmod{\ker(c_1^2)}$ , of  $\bar{\rho}$ . At this point, it is a simple if lengthy computation to verify that the right hand side of the previous expression is the 2-cocycle  $(g, h) \mapsto -\frac{1}{2}[c_1(g), \mathrm{Ad} \bar{\rho}(g)c_1(h)] \otimes x_1^2$ . Now  $x_1^2$  is our chosen  $\mathbb{F}$ -basis of the lower left term in the above diagram and via  $c_1^2$  it is mapped to 1. Hence,  $\mathrm{obs}(f)$  agrees with the expression for  $-\frac{1}{2}b(c_1, c_1)$  given above.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** The use of the exponential map in the above proof seems standard, e.g. [16, 1.3].

**Corollary 5.4.** *Suppose  $\bar{\rho}$  is of degree 2 and  $p > 2$ . Then the homomorphism*

$$b: \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$$

*induced from the bracket cup product is surjective.*

**Proof.** Consider a minimal presentation  $0 \rightarrow I^\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rightarrow R_{\bar{\rho}} \rightarrow 0$  of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ . By Lemma 5.2, it suffices to show that the images of the quadratic parts of generators of  $I^\psi$  span a subspace of dimension equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ . This follows from Corollary 3.6(a) in cases (A)–(C), Lemma 3.7(c)–(e) in cases (D)–(E) and Lemma 3.2 by direct inspection in the respective cases of Section 3.  $\square$

## 6. Further quadratic obstructions from the Bockstein homomorphism

Let  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a residual representation and  $0 \rightarrow I^\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi \rightarrow 0$  be a fixed minimal presentation as in Proposition 1.3. In the previous section we gave a description of the contribution of the bracket cup product  $b: \mathrm{Sym}^2(H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  to the relation ideal  $I^\psi$ . By Lemma 5.2, knowing  $b$  is equivalent to knowing the quadratic part of  $\bar{I}^\psi$ . In Example 2.3 we saw that knowing the refined quadratic part may have stronger ring-theoretic implications than knowing the quadratic part only. The theme of this section is the Bockstein homomorphism and its additional contribution to the relation ideal  $I^\psi$ . The upshot is a cohomological description of the refined quadratic part of  $I^\psi$  in cohomological terms in Lemma 6.6 and Theorem 6.8.

We suppose that there is a representation  $\rho_{s+1}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{s+1})$  lifting  $\bar{\rho}$  for some integer  $s$ .<sup>9</sup> Observe that  $\rho_{s+1}$  defines a homomorphism  $\alpha_{s+1}: R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi/(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}$ . For the following discussion it will be convenient to choose a regular sequence of parameters of  $\mathcal{R}$  that is compatible with  $\alpha_{s+1}$  in the following sense: Since the morphism  $\alpha_{s+1} \circ (\pi \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1}}): \mathcal{R}/(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}$  is a surjective homomorphism of formally smooth  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}$ -algebras, it possesses an  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}$ -splitting. Thus we may choose  $x_1, \dots, x_h$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $h = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  such that  $\mathcal{R}/\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{s+1}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$  and such that under this identification the homomorphism  $\alpha_{s+1} \circ (\pi \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1}})$  sends all  $x_i$  to zero. Define

<sup>9</sup> The delicate matter of the correct choice of  $q = p^s$  is discussed in Lemma 6.9 and Remark 6.10.



$\alpha_i := \alpha_{s+1} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i} : R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} / (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_i$  and  $\rho_i := \rho_{s+1} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Further, for  $1 \leq i \leq s+1$ , the adjoint representation  $\mathrm{Ad} \rho_i : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_i^0$  of  $G_K$  on trace zero matrices  $\mathrm{ad}_i^0 := \mathrm{Mat}_n^0(\mathcal{O}_i)$  is given by conjugation with  $\rho_i$  so that  $\mathrm{ad}_1^0 = \mathrm{ad}^0$ . Then for all  $2 \leq i \leq s+1$  there is a short exact sequence of  $G_K$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0 \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathrm{ad}_i^0 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} \mathrm{ad}^0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Definition 6.1.** For  $2 \leq i \leq s+1$ , the  $i$ -th Bockstein operator or the  $p^i$ -Bockstein homomorphism is the connecting homomorphism  $\beta_i$  in the induced long exact cohomology sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} & H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} & H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \\ & & & & \searrow \beta_i & & \downarrow \\ & & & & & & H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array} \quad (4)$$

Now we give an explicit description of  $\beta_i$  that will be useful later.

**Lemma 6.2.** Let  $2 \leq i \leq s+1$ , let  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ , and let  $\sim$  denote a set-theoretic splitting of  $\mathrm{ad}_i^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}^0$ . The  $i$ -th Bockstein operator is given explicitly by

$$\beta_i([c]) = \left( (g, h) \mapsto \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \cdot (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_i(g) \tilde{c}(h) - \tilde{c}(gh) + \tilde{c}(g)) \right) \pmod{B^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0)}. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** The connecting homomorphism  $\beta_i$  is defined by applying the snake lemma to the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{C}^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} & \bar{C}^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} & \bar{C}^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \partial_{i-1} & & \downarrow \partial_i & & \downarrow \partial_1 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} & Z^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} & Z^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0), \end{array}$$

where we let  $\bar{C}^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_j^0) := C^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_j^0) / B^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_j^0)$  and  $\partial_j$  is induced by the coboundary map

$$C^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_j^0) \longrightarrow C^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_j^0), \quad b \mapsto ((g, h) \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_j(g)b(h) - b(gh) + b(g)))$$

for any  $1 \leq j \leq s+1$ . We lift the given 1-cocycle  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  to the 1-cochain  $b_0 := (g \mapsto \tilde{c}(g)) : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}_i^0$ , and denote the image of  $c$  and  $b_0$  in  $\bar{C}^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0)$  by  $\bar{c}$  and  $\bar{b}_0$ , respectively. Since by assumption  $\partial_1(\bar{c})$  vanishes and the right hand side of the diagram is commutative, we conclude that  $\partial_i(\bar{b}_0) \in \ker(\mathrm{pr}_i^*)$ . Using the exactness of the

lower row, we may define  $\beta_i([c]) := \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1} \cdot \partial_i(\bar{b}_0) \pmod{B^2(G_K, \text{ad}_{i-1}^0)}$  so that the desired formula (5) follows from the definition of  $\partial_i$ .  $\square$

The meaning of the Bockstein operator for obstructions is given by the following straightforward result.

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $i \in \{2, \dots, s+1\}$  and consider a deformation  $\bar{\rho}_c = (1 + c\varepsilon) \cdot \bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon])$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  for some  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . Then  $\rho_i$  has a deformation to  $\mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon]$  that lifts  $\bar{\rho}_c$  if and only if  $\beta_i([c]) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** As in the mod  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  case we can write any deformation to  $\mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon]$  of  $\rho_i$  as

$$\rho_{i,c_i} = (1 + c_i\varepsilon) \cdot \rho_i : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon])$$

for some  $c_i \in Z^1(G_K, \text{ad}_i^0)$ . Using the functorial homomorphism  $\text{pr}_i^* : C^1(G_K, \text{ad}_i^0) \rightarrow C^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ , we find that the image of  $\rho_{i,c_i}$  under reduction mod  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$  is given by

$$(1 + \text{pr}_i^*(c_i)\varepsilon) \cdot \bar{\rho} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]).$$

Hence, such a deformation  $\rho_{i,c_i} : G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon])$  of  $\rho_i$  that lifts  $\bar{\rho}_c$  exists if and only if  $\text{pr}_i^*(c_i) = c$ . The long exact sequence of group cohomology (4) implies that the latter holds if and only if  $[c]$  lies in the kernel of  $\beta_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** *Let  $i$  be in  $\{2, \dots, s+1\}$  and consider the presentation*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow I_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_i &:= \mathcal{O}_i[x_1, \dots, x_h]/(x_1, \dots, x_h)^2 \\ \xrightarrow{\pi_i} R_i &:= R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}/\pi((x_1, \dots, x_h)^2 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i \mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

*induced from (1) in Proposition 1.3. Then  $\beta_i = 0$  if and only if  $I_i = 0$ , i.e., if and only if  $\pi_i$  is an isomorphism. In particular, if  $\beta_s = 0$ , then  $\beta_j = 0$  for all  $j = 2, \dots, s$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $I_i$  is non-zero and let  $f \neq 0$  be an element of  $I_i$ . By multiplying  $f$  by a suitable power of  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we may assume that  $f$  lies in  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1} \mathcal{R}_i$ , i.e., that  $f$  is of the form  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1}(\sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j)$  for suitable  $\lambda_j \in \mathcal{O}_i$  such that at least one  $\lambda_j$  lies in  $\mathcal{O}_i^*$ . Let  $\bar{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} : R_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra homomorphism such that  $\bar{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}(\sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j)$  is non-zero. Since  $\beta_i = 0$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} : R_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon]$$

such that  $\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \equiv \bar{\alpha}_{\varepsilon} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} : R_i \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]$ . We deduce

$$0 \stackrel{\pi_i(I_i)=0}{=} (\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \circ \pi_i) \left( \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j \right) \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{O}\text{-hom.}}{=} \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1} (\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \circ \pi_i) \left( \sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j \right) \in \mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon],$$

and it follows that  $(\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \circ \pi_i)(\sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j)$  lies in  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_i[\varepsilon]$ , or, in other words, that  $\bar{\alpha}_{\varepsilon}(\sum_{j=1}^h \lambda_j x_j) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5.** *Suppose that  $\beta_s = 0$ , so that also  $\beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{s-1} = 0$ . Then the following hold:*

(a) *For  $i = 2, \dots, s$ , the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}^0 \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1}} \mathrm{ad}_i^0 \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0 \longrightarrow 0$$

*yields a short exact sequence  $0 \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{i-1}} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0) \xrightarrow{\gamma_i^*} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{i-1}^0) \longrightarrow 0$ .*

(b) *The Bockstein homomorphism  $\beta_{s+1}: H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \rightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_s^0)$  induces a homomorphism*

$$\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}: H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$$

*with  $\beta_{s+1} = \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-1} \tilde{\beta}_{s+1}$  and the following property: A deformation  $\rho_c = (1 + c\varepsilon)\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon])$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  given by  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  lifts to a deformation of  $\rho_{s+1}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]$  if and only if  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}([c]) = 0$ .*

(c) *If  $\tilde{c} \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{s+1}^0)$  denotes a set-theoretic lift of  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ , then one has the explicit formula*

$$\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}([c]) = \left( (g, h) \mapsto \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-s} (\mathrm{Ad} \rho_{s+1}(g) \tilde{c}(h) - \tilde{c}(gh) + \tilde{c}(g)) \right) \pmod{B^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)}.$$

**Proof.** For (a), recall that one has  $\mathrm{scd} G_K = 2$  for the strict cohomological dimension of  $K$ . Thus from  $\beta_s = 0$  and from (4) we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{s-1}^0) \xrightarrow{\cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_s^0) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_i^*} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The groups  $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_i^0)$  are finite, and we deduce

$$\#H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_s^0) = \#H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{s-1}^0) \cdot \#H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0). \quad (7)$$

The sequence in (a) of second cohomology groups is part of a long exact cohomology sequence. Its right exactness thus follows from  $\mathrm{scd} G_K = 2$ , and then its left exactness is immediate from (7).

For (b) and (c) we consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & & & & \\
 & \swarrow \tilde{\beta}_{s+1} & \downarrow \beta_{s+1} & \searrow \beta_s & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & \xrightarrow{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s-1}} & H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_s^0) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_s^*} & H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{s-1}^0) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

with exact second row. Because  $\beta_s = 0$ , the dashed arrow  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}$  exists, and this proves (b). Finally the formula for  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}$  in (c) follows from multiplying the formula (5) for  $\beta_{s+1}$  by  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-(s-1)}$ .  $\square$

The next result gives the meaning of the Bockstein operator for the relation ideal  $I^\psi$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *For  $i = 1, \dots, s+1$ , let  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  be the kernel of the composition morphism  $\mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} R_\rho^\psi \rightarrow R_\rho^\psi / (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i) \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} \mathcal{O}_i$ , i.e.,  $\mathfrak{m}_i = (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^i, x_1, \dots, x_h)$ . Let  $I_{s+1}$  be the relation ideal in (6) and denote by  $I^\psi \rightarrow I_{s+1}$  the canonical homomorphism. Suppose  $\beta_s = 0$ . Then one has the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{obs}^\vee} & I^\psi / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I^\psi & \xrightarrow{\quad} & I_{s+1} \\
 \downarrow -\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}^\vee & & & & \downarrow \\
 H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 & \xrightarrow[\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s]{\sim} & V,
 \end{array}$$

where  $V := (\mathfrak{m}_s^2 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{R}) / (\mathfrak{m}_{s+1}^2 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{R})$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space with basis  $\{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s x_j\}_{j=1, \dots, h}$ .

**Proof.** As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we prove commutativity of the dual diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{obs}} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(I^\psi / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I^\psi, \mathbb{F}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(I_{s+1}, \mathbb{F}) \\
 \uparrow -\tilde{\beta}_{s+1} & & & & \uparrow \\
 H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F}) & \xleftarrow[\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s]{\sim} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F}).
 \end{array}$$

We start by computing  $\mathrm{obs}(\bar{f}) \in H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is the image in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(I^\psi / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I^\psi, \mathbb{F})$  of a homomorphism  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F})$ . For this, we use  $f$  to construct certain deformations of  $\bar{\rho}$  and corresponding 1-cocycles that at the end of the proof also determine the image of  $f$  in  $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  under the other composite morphism passing through  $H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ .

In order to compute  $\mathrm{obs}(\bar{f})$  with the help of Lemma 5.1, let  $\tilde{f}_{s+1}: V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^h \mathbb{F} \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s x_j \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}$  be a set-theoretic lift of  $f$ , and define  $f_{s+1}: \mathcal{R}_{s+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]$  by mapping  $x_i$  to

$\tilde{f}_{s+1}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s x_i) \cdot \varepsilon$ . Then we consider the quotient  $R_{s+} := \mathcal{R}_{s+1}/(\mathfrak{m}_s^2 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1}\mathcal{R})$  of  $\mathcal{R}_{s+1}$ . Note that  $R_{s+}$  is the ring fiber product

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R_{s+} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_s \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_s. \end{array}$$

The deformation  $\rho_{s+1}$  defines a homomorphism  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}$ , and since  $\beta_s = 0$  there is a surjection  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{R}_s$  by the previous lemma. By universality of the fiber product  $R_{s+}$ , there exists a homomorphism  $g : R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow R_{s+}$  that corresponds to a deformation  $\rho_{s+} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R_{s+})$  of  $\bar{\rho}$ . Moreover, the homomorphism  $(f_{s+1} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1}}) \circ g : R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow R_{s+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]/(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1})$  defines a deformation  $\bar{\rho}_{s+} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]/(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1}))$ . Finally, we form the pushout  $R_f$  of  $V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}_{s+1}$  and  $f$  so that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I^{\psi}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}I^{\psi} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}I^{\psi} & \longrightarrow & R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow g & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & R_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & R_{s+} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F} & \longrightarrow & R_f & \xrightarrow{f_{s+1}} & R_{s+} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]/\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

whose rows are small extensions in  $\widehat{\mathrm{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . Using [Lemma 5.1](#), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{obs}(\bar{f}) \otimes \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1} &= (\mathcal{O}(\rho_{s+}), f) \otimes \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\bar{\rho}_{s+}) \in H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now we follow the steps explained above [Lemma 5.1](#): Namely, we first define a suitable set-theoretic lift  $G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon])$  of  $\bar{\rho}_{s+}$  and then compute the obstruction class (8) by applying formula (3). Composing the surjection  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_s$  and  $f_{s+1} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{s+1}} : \mathcal{R}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_s[\varepsilon]$  determines a deformation  $\rho_{s,\varepsilon} = (1 + \varepsilon c_s) \rho_s : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_s[\varepsilon])$  for some 1-cycle  $c_s \in H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_s)$ . Let  $\tilde{c}_s \in Z^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}_{s+1}^0)$  be a set-theoretic lift of  $c_s$  that by construction defines a set-theoretic lift

$$\tilde{\rho}_{s,\varepsilon} := (1 + \varepsilon \tilde{c}_s) \rho_{s+1} : G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_{s+1}[\varepsilon]) \quad (9)$$

of  $\bar{\rho}_{s+}$ . Using formula (3), we calculate a representative in  $Z^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0 \otimes (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon))$  for (8) by evaluating

$$\begin{aligned} (h, k) &\longmapsto \tilde{\rho}_{s,\varepsilon}(hk) \tilde{\rho}_{s,\varepsilon}(k)^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_{s,\varepsilon}(h)^{-1} - 1 \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} (1 + \varepsilon \tilde{c}_s(hk)) \rho_{s+1}(hk) \rho_{s+1}(k)^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon \tilde{c}_s(k)) \rho_{s+1}(h)^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon \tilde{c}_s(h)) - 1 \\ &= \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s(\tilde{c}_s(hk) - \text{Ad}_{\rho_{s+1}(h)} \tilde{c}_s(k) - \tilde{c}_s(h)) \cdot \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the class  $\text{obs}(\bar{f}) \in H^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  is obtained from dividing by  $\varepsilon \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s$ .

It remains to compute the image of the homomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F})$  under the composite morphism passing through  $H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . First note that the map  $f_{s+1} \pmod{\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}}: \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_h]/(x_1, \dots, x_h)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\varepsilon]$  induces a homomorphism  $f_1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F})$ , which under multiplication by  $\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s$  is mapped to  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V, \mathbb{F})$ . We want to compute  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}([c])$ , where  $c \in Z^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$  is a representative of the image of  $f_1$  under the canonical isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2, \mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$ . Since by construction  $\rho_{s,\varepsilon} = (1 + \varepsilon c_s) \rho_s: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_s[\varepsilon])$  lifts  $(1 + \varepsilon c) \bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}[\varepsilon])$ , it is clear that  $\tilde{c}_s \in Z^1(G_K, \text{ad}_{s+1}^0)$  is a set-theoretic lift of  $c$ . By Lemma 6.5(c), it thus provides us with the representative

$$(h, k) \longmapsto \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{-s} (\text{Ad}_{\rho_{s+1}(h)} \tilde{c}_s(k) - \tilde{c}_s(hk) + \tilde{c}_s(h)) \in Z^2(G_K, \text{ad}^0)$$

for  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}([c])$ . This shows that  $\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}([c]) = -\text{obs}(\bar{f})$ , proving the lemma.  $\square$

If  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{2s}$ , then there is a natural refinement of the above with regards to the filtration of  $\mathcal{R}$  given by  $\mathfrak{m}_s$ . Denoting by  $\mathbf{in}$  the initial term map with respect to this filtration, one has isomorphisms

$$\overline{\mathfrak{m}_s^2/(\mathfrak{m}_s^3 + \mathfrak{m}_{2s}^2)} \cong \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^h \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s) \cdot \mathbf{in}(x_i) \cong \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2 \oplus V$$

and

$$\ker(\overline{\mathfrak{m}_s^2/\mathfrak{m}_s^3} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{m}_s^2/(\mathfrak{m}_s^3 + \mathfrak{m}_{2s}^2)}) \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(x_i) \cdot \mathbf{in}(x_j) \cong \bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3.$$

In other words, we have a natural 2-step filtration of  $\overline{\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  whose first subquotient is isomorphic to  $\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2/\bar{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3$  and whose second subquotient is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2 \oplus V$  with  $V$  as above. A variant of the above lemma is the following whose proof we leave to the reader:

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $I_{s+1}$  be the relation ideal in (6) and let  $I^\psi \rightarrow I_{s+1}$  be the canonical homomorphism. Suppose that  $\rho_{s+1}$  possesses a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{2s}$  and that  $\beta_s = 0$ . Then one has the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \longrightarrow & I^\psi / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I^\psi & \longrightarrow & I_{s+1} \\
\downarrow -\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}^\vee & & & & \downarrow \\
H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 & \xrightarrow[\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s]{\hookrightarrow} & \mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2 \oplus V.
\end{array}$$

The use of the above 2-step filtration of  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  allows one to apply our results on the Bockstein operator on one piece and that of the bracket cup product on the other. This gives precise information on the *refined quadratic parts* in  $\overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}}$  which arise from  $H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$  – with the possible exception of the quotient  $\mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2 \cdot \mathbb{F}$ . Namely, we have the following result:

**Theorem 6.8.** *Suppose  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}$  and that  $\beta_s = 0$ . Then  $I_\psi$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{m}_s^2 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{R}$  and the following diagram is commutative, where all homomorphisms are the natural ones, as given either in Lemma 5.2 or Lemma 6.6:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \longrightarrow & I^\psi / \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} I^\psi & \longrightarrow & \overline{I^\psi / (\mathfrak{m}_s^3 + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{R})} \\
\downarrow -\tilde{\beta}_{s+1}^\vee \oplus -\frac{1}{2} b^\vee & & & & \downarrow \\
H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee \oplus \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^1(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)^\vee & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}} / \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^2 / \overline{\mathfrak{m}}_{\mathcal{R}}^3 & \xrightarrow[\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s]{\hookrightarrow} & \overline{\mathrm{gr}_{\mathfrak{m}_s}^2 \mathcal{R}} / (\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2).
\end{array}$$

If in addition  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{2s}$ , then the above diagram still commutes if one removes the symbols ‘ $+\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{R}$ ’ in the top right and ‘ $\mathbb{F} \cdot \mathbf{in}(\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s)^2$ ’ in the lower right corner.

We now discuss various issues about the Bockstein homomorphism that were left open so far, for instance the existence of lifts  $\rho_{s+1}$  and the choice of  $s$ .

**Lemma 6.9.** *Let  $p > 2$  and  $\bar{\rho}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$  be a representation. Let  $s$  be an integer.<sup>10</sup> We fix a minimal presentation of  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\psi$  as in Proposition 1.3 and an isomorphism  $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]]$ , and set  $\mathfrak{m}_s := (\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s, x_1, \dots, x_h)$ . Then the following hold:*

- (a) *If  $n = 2$ , then  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}$ .*
- (b) *For general  $n$ , if  $\bar{\rho}(G_K)$  is a  $p$ -group and if  $p^s = \#\mu_{p^\infty}(K) > 1$ , then  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to the ring  $\mathcal{O}/\varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^{s+1} \mathcal{O}$ .*
- (c) *If the relation ideal  $I^\psi$  lies in  $\mathfrak{m}_s^2$ , then  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{2s}$ .*
- (d) *If  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to  $\mathcal{O}_{2s}$  and if  $\beta_s = 0$ , then any choice  $y_i \in x_i + \varpi_{\mathcal{O}}^s \mathcal{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, h$ , induces a change of coordinates isomorphism  $\mathcal{R} \cong \mathcal{O}[[x_1, \dots, x_h]] \cong \mathcal{O}[[y_1, \dots, y_h]]$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}_s$  is independent of whether we use the  $x_i$  or the  $y_i$  to define it.*

<sup>10</sup> In different items,  $s$  may take different values.

(e) If  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}$  is flat over  $\mathcal{O}$ , then there exists a finite totally ramified extension of  $\mathcal{O}[1/p]$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}'$  and a homomorphism  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'$  in  $\widehat{\text{Ar}}_{\mathcal{O}}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{\rho}$  has a lift to characteristic zero, and in particular lifts to  $\mathcal{O}'/(\varpi'_{\mathcal{O}})^s$  for every integer  $s$ .

Regarding (e) note that A. Müller [27] has constructed crystalline lifts of a large class of mod  $p$  Galois representations  $\bar{\rho}$  for any  $n$ . Whether such a lift always exists is still an open question.

**Proof.** For  $\mathcal{O} = W(\mathbb{F})$ , part (a) can be obtained from a simple adaption of [21, Theorem 2] – Khare’s proof using Kummer theory works for all field of characteristic zero – and part (b) is [3, Prop. 2.1]. For general  $\mathcal{O}$ , one can apply Lemma 4.1 to replace the fixed character  $\psi: G_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*$  by a twist of  $\psi$  whose image lies in  $W(\mathbb{F})^*$ . Part (c) is rather trivial: the hypothesis implies that  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi} \cong \mathcal{R}/I^{\psi}$  surjects onto  $\mathcal{R}/(p^{2s}, x_1, \dots, x_h) \cong \mathcal{O}_{2s}$ . Part (d) is also obvious. For (e) observe that by flatness the ring  $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}[1/p]$  is non-zero. Hence, its generic fiber  $\mathfrak{X}(\rho)^{\psi}$  is a non-empty rigid analytic space over  $\mathcal{O}[1/p]$ . Thus it has points over some finite extension of  $\mathcal{O}[1/p]$ . These points are the desired lifts.  $\square$

**Remark 6.10.** The definition of the Bockstein operators  $\beta_i$  depends on a choice of a *base point*, i.e., a lift  $\rho_{s+1}$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  to  $\mathcal{O}_{s+1}$ . We do not know in general in what sense the vanishing of  $\beta_s$  and the non-vanishing of  $\beta_{s+1}$  could be independent of such a lift. A change of base point as described in Lemma 6.9(d), clearly does not change the integer  $s$  for which  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ , assuming the existence of  $\rho_{s+1}$ . We also do not know, what an optimal choice of  $s$ , independently of a choice of the lift  $\rho_{s+1}$  means, although Lemma 6.9 provides some reasonable guesses. If one does have an explicit choice of  $\rho_{s+1}$ , and a situation where one can then determine its infinitesimal deformations, then one can determine whether  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ . Such an approach is sketched in the proof of Proposition 6.11.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 1.14, we discuss the existence of such a base point in cases (D) and (E) of Section 3. For the remainder, suppose that  $q = \#\mu_{p^{\infty}}(K) > 1$  and set  $s := \log_p q$ . Suppose also that the image of  $\bar{\rho}$  is a  $p$ -group and that the fixed lift  $\psi$  of  $\det \bar{\rho}$  is the trivial character – both can be assumed without loss of generality by twisting; cf. the proof of Lemma 3.7.

**Proposition 6.11.** *In cases (D) and (E) of Section 3 there exists a deformation  $\rho_{\infty}$  in  $D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\psi}(W(\mathbb{F}))$  such that  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** We ask the reader to have the notation and concepts used in the proof of Lemma 3.7 at hand. We define

$$M_i := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } i = 1, 3, \dots, 2g \quad \text{and} \quad M_2 := \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-q}^{-1} & u_2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-q} \end{pmatrix},$$



where the  $u_i$  are all zero in case (D). Then it is easy to verify that the  $M_i$  satisfy the Demushkin relation  $M_1^q[M_1, M_2] \dots [M_{2g-1}, M_{2g}] = 1$ . Hence, the map  $\Pi \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F}))$  defined by mapping  $x_i$  to  $M_i$  yields the desired lift  $\rho_\infty$ .

We use this base point to determine the Bockstein relations, and thus to determine the correct value of  $s$  such that  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ , by computing explicitly infinitesimal deformations of  $\rho_\infty$ . Namely, we define  $N_i := M_i(1 + \varepsilon A_i) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})[\varepsilon])$  for matrices  $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & -a_i \end{pmatrix}$ . Computing the Demushkin relation  $N_1^q[N_1, N_2] \dots [N_{2g-1}, N_{2g}] = 1$ , we obtain a linear relation whose coefficients lie in  $qW(\mathbb{F})$  but not in  $pqW(\mathbb{F})$ . The assertion follows.  $\square$

**Remark 6.12.** We note that the base point lift chosen in the proof of the previous proposition is obtained as a specialization of the variables in the proof of Lemma 3.7 within  $qW(\mathbb{F})$ . Hence, by Lemma 6.9(d), the trivial specialization that sends all variables to zero gives a lift to  $W(\mathbb{F})/q^2W(\mathbb{F})$  (in fact to  $W(\mathbb{F})$ ) so that  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.14.** By the same reduction as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, given after Corollary 3.6, it suffices to treat the case  $\mathcal{O} = W(\mathbb{F})$ . By Theorem 3.4, we have in cases (A)–(C) of Section 3 that a lift  $\rho_{2s}: G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(W(\mathbb{F})/p^{2s}W(\mathbb{F}))$  exists for  $s = \log_p q$  if we specialize all variables to zero. Then all the specialized relations will vanish modulo  $q^2$ . Moreover for this choice, we have  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$  because the linear terms of the relations vanish modulo  $q$  but not modulo  $pq$ . By Corollary 3.6(b), the images of the quadratic parts of generators of  $I^\psi$  span a subspace of dimension equal to  $h_2 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ . Thus Theorem 1.14 follows from Theorem 6.8.

It remains to consider cases (D) and (E). We take the specialization from Remark 6.12 as our lift to  $W(\mathbb{F})/q^2W(\mathbb{F})$  so that  $\beta_s = 0$  and  $\beta_{s+1} \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.7(c), (e), there exists a presentation

$$0 \longrightarrow (r_1, \dots, r_m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow R_\rho^\psi \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $\mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_1), \dots, \mathbf{in}(\bar{r}_m) \in \mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}}^2} \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  form a regular sequence in  $\mathrm{gr}_{\overline{\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}}}^2} \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} H^2(G_K, \mathrm{ad}^0)$ . We complete the proof of Theorem 1.14 by a further appeal to Theorem 6.8.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The first author thanks M. Blicke for help in finding the reference [19] which led to [31] as well as G. Chenevier for some stimulating discussions. Both authors thank A. Muller for some useful remarks. Both authors also thank the referee for a very careful reading and many useful suggestions. G.B. received funding from the DFG via the Forschergruppe 1920 and the SPP 1489. A.-K.J. benefited from a PhD grant of the Landesgraduiertenförderung Baden-Württemberg.

## References

- [1] G. Böckle, The generic fiber of the universal deformation space associated to a tame Galois representation, *Manuscripta Math.* 96 (2) (1998) 231–246.
- [2] G. Böckle, Demuškin groups with group actions and applications to deformations of Galois representations, *Compos. Math.* 121 (2000) 109–154.
- [3] G. Böckle, Lifting mod  $p$  representations to characteristics  $p^2$ , *J. Number Theory* 101 (2) (2003) 310–337.
- [4] G. Böckle, Deformation rings for some mod 3 Galois representations of the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}_3$ , *Astérisque* 330 (2010) 531–544.
- [5] Pierre Colmez, Gabriel Dospinescu, Vytautas Paskunas, Irreducible components of deformation spaces: wild 2-adic exercises, *arXiv:1310.2233*, 2013.
- [6] Brian Conrad, Fred Diamond, Richard Taylor, Modularity of certain potentially Barsotti–Tate Galois representations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1999) 521–567.
- [7] G. Chenevier, Sur la variété des caractères  $p$ -adiques du groupe de  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , available at <http://www.math.polytechnique.fr/~chenevier/articles/lieugalois.pdf>, 2009.
- [8] G. Chenevier, Sur la densité des représentation cristalline de  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , *Math. Ann.* 335 (2013) 1469–1525.
- [9] G. Chenevier, The  $p$ -adic analytic space of pseudo-characters of a profinite group, and pseudo-representations over arbitrary rings, in: *Proceedings of the LMS Durham Symposium, Automorphic forms and Galois Representations*, 2011.
- [10] P. Colmez, Représentations triangulines de dimension 2, *Astérisque* 319 (2008) 213–258.
- [11] P. Colmez, Représentations de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, *Astérisque* 330 (2010) 281–509.
- [12] B. Conrad, Lifting global representations with local properties, preprint, 2012, available at <http://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/locchar.pdf>.
- [13] A.J. de Jong, Crystalline Dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry, *Publ. Math. IHES* 82 (1995) 5–96.
- [14] F. Diamond, D. Savitt, Serre weights for locally reducible two-dimensional Galois representations, *arXiv:1304.7649v1*, 2013.
- [15] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative Algebra. With a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, *Grad. Texts in Math.*, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [16] W.M. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces, *Adv. Math.* 54 (1984) 200–225.
- [17] W.M. Goldman, J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Publ. Math. IHES* 67 (1988) 43–96.
- [18] W.M. Goldman, J. Millson, The deformation theory of representations of fundamental groups of compact Kähler manifolds, *Bull., New Ser., Am. Math. Soc.* 18 (2) (1988) 153–158.
- [19] J. Herzog, When is a regular sequence super regular? *Nagoya Math. J.* 83 (1981) 183–195.
- [20] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I*, *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York, 1967.
- [21] C. Khare, Base change, lifting, and Serre’s conjecture, *J. Number Theory* 63 (2) (1997) 387–395.
- [22] M. Kisin, Deformations of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -representations, appendix to P. Colmez, Représentations de  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  et  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, *Astérisque* 330 (2010) 281–509.
- [23] J. Labute, Classification of Demuškin groups, *Canad. J. Math.* 19 (1967) 106–132.
- [24] B. Mazur, Deforming Galois representations, in: *Galois Groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [25] B. Mazur, An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations, in: *Modular Forms and Fermat’s Last Theorem*, Boston, MA, 1995, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, pp. 243–311.
- [26] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [27] A. Muller, Relèvements cristallins de représentations galoisiennes, PhD thesis, Université de Strasbourg, 2013.
- [28] K. Nakamura, Deformations of trianguline  $B$ -pairs and Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 20 (4) (2013) 461–568.
- [29] K. Nakamura, Zariski density of crystalline representations for any  $p$ -adic field, *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 21 (1) (2014) 79–127.
- [30] R. Ramakrishna, On a variation of Mazur’s deformation functor, *Compos. Math.* 87 (1993) 269–286.
- [31] P. Valabrega, G. Valla, Form rings and regular sequences, *Nagoya Math. J.* 72 (1978) 93–101.

- [32] L. Washington, Galois cohomology, in: *Modular Forms and Fermat's Last Theorem*, Boston, MA, 1995, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, pp. 101–120.
- [33] C.W. Wang Erickson, *Moduli of Galois representations*, PhD thesis, Harvard University, 2013.